

**Permanence properties for
crossed products and fixed
point algebras of finite
groups**

Cornel Pasnicu

University of Texas at San Antonio

This is joint work with *N. Christopher Phillips* (Trans. A.M.S., to appear).

In this talk, we are interested in permanence properties for crossed products and fixed point algebras by finite groups. For the most part, we consider the following loosely related properties:

- The ideal property.
- The projection property.
- Topological dimension zero.
- Pure infiniteness for nonsimple C^* -alg.

Topological dimension zero

Definition (*L. G. Brown-Pedersen, 2009*):

A C^* -alg. A is said to have *topological dimension zero* if $\text{Prim}(A) = \emptyset$ or a basis of compact-open sets.

- (*Bratteli-Elliott, J.F.A. 1978*): If $X = \text{Prim}(A)$ for some $A = C^*$ -alg. \dagger sep., then: $X = \text{Prim}(B)$ for some AF alg. $B \Leftrightarrow A$ has *topological dimension zero*.

Definition (Kirchberg-Rørdam):

A C^* -alg. A is said to be *purely infinite* if:

(1) A has no characters (or, equivalently, no non-zero abelian quotients), and

(2) $\forall a, b \in A^+$ such that $a \in \overline{AbA} \Rightarrow \exists \{x_n\} \subset A$ such that $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^* b x_n$.

Remark:

The study of purely infinite C^* -alg. was motivated by Kirchberg's classification of the sep., nuclear C^* -alg. that tensorially absorb the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞ up to stable isomorphism by an ideal related KK -theory.

The ideal property

Definition:

A C^* -alg. A is said to have the *ideal property (i.p.)* if each (closed, two-sided) ideal of A is generated (as an ideal) by its projections.

Some remarks and results:

- $A = \text{simple} + \text{unital} \Rightarrow A = \text{i.p.}$
- $\text{RR}(A) = 0 \Rightarrow A = \text{i.p.}$
- (*Rørdam-Sierakowski*): Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system, where $G =$ discrete amenable group and the action of G on \widehat{A} is free. Then $A = \text{i.p.} \Rightarrow C^*(G, A, \alpha) = \text{i.p.}$
- (*P.-Phillips, 2004*): Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group on A with the Rokhlin property. Then $A = \text{i.p.} \Rightarrow C^*(G, A, \alpha) = \text{i.p.}$
- (*Cuntz-Echterhoff-Li*): If R is a ring of integers in a number field \Rightarrow the semigroup C^* -alg. $C_r^*(R \rtimes R^\times) = \text{i.p.}$ ($+ \text{purely infinite} + \text{RR}(C_r^*(R \rtimes R^\times)) \neq 0$)

- (*K. Stevens*): Classification of a certain class of AH alg. + i.p.
- (*P.*): Classification of the AH alg. + i.p. + s.d.g., up to a shape equivalence.
- (*P.*): Several characterizations of the i.p. for an arbitrary AH alg.
- (*P.*): If $A = AH$ alg. + i.p. + s.d.g. Then:
 - (1) $sr(A) = 1$;
 - (2) $K_0(A) =$ Riesz group + weakly unperforated (in the sense of Elliott).
- (*Gong-Jiang-Li-P.*): If $A = AH$ alg. + i.p. + no dim. growth. $\Rightarrow A$ can be rewritten as an AH alg. with (special) local spectra of $\dim \leq 3$.

• ([P.-Rørdam, J.F.A. 2000](#)): $\text{i.p.} \otimes \text{i.p.} \neq \text{i.p.}$ (even in the sep. case). If at least one of the "factors" is exact, then we have "equality".

• ([P.-Rørdam, Crelle's Journal 2007](#)): Let $A = C^*$ -alg. + sep. + purely infinite. T.F.A.E.:

(1) $A = \text{i.p.}$;

(2) $A = \text{topological dimension zero.}$

• ([P.-Rørdam, Crelle's Journal 2007](#)): Let $A = C^*$ -alg. + sep. T.F.A.E.:

(1) $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 = \text{i.p.}$;

(2) $\text{RR}(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2) = 0$;

(3) $A = \text{topological dimension zero.}$

Definition:

A C^* -alg. A is said to be an **AH algebra (AH alg.)**, if A is the inductive limit C^* -alg. of:

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,2}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_{2,3}} A_3 \xrightarrow{\phi_{3,4}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1,n}} A_n \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,n+1}} \dots$$

with $A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} P_{n,i} M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i})) P_{n,i}$, where the local spectra $X_{n,i} =$ finite, connected CW complexes, $t_n, [n, i] \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $P_{n,i} \in \mathcal{P}(M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i})))$.

Definition (P., 2002):

A C^* -alg. A is said to have the **projection property (p.p.)** if any ideal of A has an increasing approximate identity consisting of projections.

- (P.): If $A =$ AH alg., then: $A =$ p.p. $\Leftrightarrow A =$ i.p.
- (P.): i.p. $\not\Rightarrow$ p.p. (even in the sep. case).

ROKHLIN ACTIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

Definition:

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of C^* -alg. A *strong local \mathcal{C} -algebra* is a C^* -alg A such that for every finite set $S \subset A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a C^* -alg. $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and a homomorphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow A$ (not necessarily injective) such that $\text{dist}(a, \varphi(B)) < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in S$. We also say that A can be *locally approximated by \mathcal{C}* .

Theorem (Osaka-Phillips):

Let $A =$ unital C^* -algebra, let $G =$ finite group, and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ can be *locally approximated by the class of matrix algebras over corners of A* .

Proposition (P.-Phillips):

Let A = purely infinite unital C^* -alg., let G = finite group, and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and A^α = purely infinite unital C^* -alg.

Theorem (P.-Phillips):

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of unital (sep. nuclear) C^* -alg. that are direct limits of sequences of finite direct sums of Kirchberg C^* -alg. satisfying the UCT. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$, let G = finite group, and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and A^α are both in \mathcal{C} .

Remark (P.-Phillips):

The above result implies (using also a theorem of Dadarlat-P. (J.F.A. 2005) a *classification result* for crossed products and fixed point algebras of Rokhlin actions of finite groups on algebras in \mathcal{C} by a topological invariant.

Definition (Carrion-P.):

A C^* -alg. A is a **WB algebra** if for any ideal $I \subset A$ that is generated by its projections, the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/I \longrightarrow 0$$

is *quasidiagonal*, that is, there is an approximate identity for I consisting of projections $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (not necessarily countable or increasing) such that $\lim \|p_\lambda a - ap_\lambda\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

Remark (P.-Phillips):

Note that: AH alg. $\subset GAH$ alg. $\subset LB$ alg., and in the unital case we have LB alg. $\subset WB$ alg.

Proposition (P.-Phillips):

Let $A =$ unital WB algebra $+ i.p.$, let $G =$ finite group, and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow Aut(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and $A^\alpha =$ unital WB alg. $+ i.p.$

STRONGLY POINTWISE OUTER ACTIONS AND THE IDEAL AND PROJECTION PROPERTIES

Definition (Phillips):

An action $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is said to be *strongly pointwise outer* if, for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and any two α_g -invariant ideals $I \subset J \subset A$ with $I \neq J$, the automorphism of J/I induced by α_g is outer.

Definition (Sierakowski):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a discrete group G on a C^* -alg. A . We say that A *separates the ideals in the reduced crossed product* $C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ (or in $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ when G is amenable) if each ideal of $C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ has the form $C_r^*(G, I, \alpha)$ for some α -invariant ideal $I \subset A$.

Theorem (P.-Phillips):

Let $G =$ finite group, let $A = C^*$ -alg., and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be a strongly pointwise outer action. Then A separates the ideals in $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$.

Corollary (P.-Phillips):

Let $G =$ finite group, let $A =$ unital C^* -alg., and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then A separates the ideals in $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$.

Corollary (P.-Phillips):

Crossed products by strongly pointwise outer actions of finite groups preserve the i.p. and the p.p.

Remark (P.-Phillips):

We do not know of any example of any action at all of a finite group on a C^* -alg. = i.p. such that the crossed product does not have the i.p. Similarly, we do not know of any example of any action at all of a finite group on a C^* -alg. = p.p. such that the crossed product does not have the p.p.

Question: Does the i.p. pass to fixed point alg. of actions of finite groups?

Answer (P.-Phillips): No.

Question: Does the p.p. pass to fixed point alg. of actions of finite groups?

Answer (P.-Phillips): No.

Remark (P.-Phillips):

In fact, we produce an example of a pointwise outer (but not strongly pointwise outer) action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on a C^* -alg. = p.p. such that the fixed point algebra does not even have the i.p.

Question (Carrion-P., 2008): Let $A = C^*$ -alg., let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that $M_n(A) = \text{i.p.}$ Does it follow that $A = \text{i.p.}$?

Answer (P.-Phillips): No.

Question: Let $A = C^*$ -alg., let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that $M_n(A) = \text{p.p.}$ Does it follow that $A = \text{p.p.}$?

Answer (P.-Phillips): No.

Remark (P.-Phillips):

In fact, we construct a $A = C^*$ -alg. such that $M_2(A) = \text{p.p.}$ but $A \neq \text{i.p.}$

TOPOLOGICAL DIMENSION ZERO

Definition (P.-Rørdam):

An ideal I in a C^* -alg. A is said to be *compact* if whenever $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an increasing net of ideals in A such that $I = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda}$, then there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $I = I_\lambda$.

Theorem (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group G on a C^* -algebra A . Suppose that $A^\alpha =$ topological dimension zero. Suppose also that whenever $I \subset A^\alpha$ is a compact ideal, then $\overline{AIA} \cap A^\alpha =$ compact ideal in A^α . Then $A =$ topological dimension zero.

Theorem (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite abelian group G on a C^* -alg. A . Suppose that $A =$ topological dimension zero. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and $A^\alpha =$ topological dimension zero.

Proposition (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group G on a C^* -alg. A . Assume that A separates the ideals in $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$. Suppose that $A =$ topological dimension zero. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and $A^\alpha =$ topological dimension zero.

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of $G = \text{discrete}$ group on a C^* -alg. A . Let $E: C_r^*(G, A, \alpha) \rightarrow A$ be the canonical conditional expectation. It is immediate that if $I \subset A$ is an α -invariant ideal, then

$$E(C_r^*(G, I, \alpha)) = I. \quad (1)$$

It follows that for α -invariant ideals $I_1, I_2 \subset A$, we have

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \text{ if and only if } C_r^*(G, I_1, \alpha) \subset C_r^*(G, I_2, \alpha). \quad (2)$$

Lemma (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of $G = \text{discrete}$ group on a C^* -alg. A . Suppose A separates the ideals in $C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$. Let $I = \alpha$ -invariant ideal of A . If $I = \text{compact}$, then $C_r^*(G, I, \alpha) = \text{compact}$.

Proof. Let $(J_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} =$ an increasing net of ideals in $C_r^*(G, A, \alpha)$ such that

$$C_r^*(G, I, \alpha) = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J_\lambda}.$$

By hypothesis, there are α -invariant ideals I_λ such that $J_\lambda = C_r^*(G, I_\lambda, \alpha)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. By (2), we have $I_\lambda \subset I$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and moreover $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is increasing. By (1) and because $E = \text{continuous}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= E(C_r^*(G, I, \alpha)) = E\left(\overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_r^*(G, I_\lambda, \alpha)}\right) \\ &\subset \overline{E\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_r^*(G, I_\lambda, \alpha)\right)} = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E(C_r^*(G, I_\lambda, \alpha))} = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda} \subset I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda}$. Since $I = \text{compact}$, there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $I = I_\lambda$. Then $C_r^*(G, I, \alpha) = C_r^*(G, I_\lambda, \alpha) = J_\lambda$. This shows that $C_r^*(G, I, \alpha)$ is compact.

Proof of the Proposition. We first consider $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$.

It is not difficult to see that a C^* -alg. $D = \text{topological dimension zero}$ if and only if every ideal in D is the closure of the union of an increasing net of compact ideals.

So let $J = \text{an arbitrary ideal in } C^*(G, A, \alpha)$. By hypothesis, there is an α -invariant ideal $I \subset A$ such that $J = C^*(G, I, \alpha)$. Since $A = \text{topological dimension zero}$, there is an increasing net $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of compact ideals of A such that $I = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, define $L_\lambda = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g(I_\lambda)$. Then $(L_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} = \text{increasing net of } \alpha\text{-invariant ideals}$ and $\overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_\lambda} = I$. Since a finite union of compact sets is compact, it follows that $L_\lambda = \text{compact}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The ideals $C^*(G, L_\lambda, \alpha) = \text{compact}$ by the above Lemma. By (2), these ideals are increasing and satisfy

$$\overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C^*(G, L_\lambda, \alpha)} = C^*(G, I, \alpha).$$

This completes the proof for $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$.

The result for A^α now follows from the fact that topological dimension zero passes to hereditary subalgebras and a result of *Rosenberg* saying that if $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$ is an action of $G = \text{compact group}$ on a C^* -alg. B , then B^α is isomorphic to a corner of $C^*(G, B, \alpha)$.

Corollary (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be a strongly pointwise outer action of a finite group G on a C^* -alg. A . Suppose that $A =$ topological dimension zero. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and $A^\alpha =$ topological dimension zero.

PURELY INFINITE C*-ALGEBRAS WITH FINITE PRIMITIVE SPECTRUM

Theorem (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group G on a C^* -alg. A . Assume that $A =$ finitely many α -invariant ideals. Then $\text{Prim}(C^*(G, A, \alpha)) =$ finite. Moreover, if in addition $A =$ purely infinite, then $C^*(G, A, \alpha) =$ purely infinite.

Corollary (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group G on a C^* -alg. A . If $A =$ purely infinite \dagger finitely many α -invariant ideals, then $C^*(G, A, \alpha) =$ i.p.

Corollary (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group G on a C^* -alg. A . Assume that $A =$ finitely many α -invariant ideals. Then $\text{Prim}(A^\alpha) =$ finite. Moreover, if in addition $A =$ purely infinite, then $A^\alpha =$ purely infinite.

Theorem (P.-Phillips):

Let $A =$ purely infinite C^* -alg. Suppose there is an ordinal κ and a composition series $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda \leq \kappa}$ for A such that $\text{Prim}(I_{\lambda+1}/I_\lambda) =$ finite for all $\lambda < \kappa$. Let $G =$ finite group, and let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be any action of G on A . Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and $A^\alpha =$ purely infinite \dagger composition series in which all the subquotients have finite primitive ideal spaces.

Proposition (P.-Phillips):

Let $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action of a finite group G on a C^* -alg. A . Suppose that there is a set \mathcal{I} of ideals in A , each of which is purely infinite and has finite primitive ideal space, with the following property. For every finite subset $S \subset A$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\text{dist}(a, I) < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in S$. Then $C^*(G, A, \alpha)$ and $A^\alpha =$ purely infinite \dagger i.p.