Generalizations of the convexity theorem of Chandler Davis.

Yury Grabovsky and Omar Hijab

Department of Mathematics
Temple University
Philadelphia, PA 19122

Motivation

(From the theory of composite materials)

For $U \subset \operatorname{Sym}^+(\mathbb{R}^3)$ find $\mathcal{L}(U)$ —the smallest set such that

- 1. $U \subset \mathcal{L}(U)$
- 2. $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is SO(3)-invariant
- 3. $W(\mathcal{L}(U))$ is convex

$$W(\mathbf{L}) = [(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L})^{-1} - \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1]^{-1}$$

Reformulation

 $V = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ —a vector space $C = W(\operatorname{Sym}^+(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is a convex subset of V G = SO(3) acts non-linearly on C

$$R \cdot K = W(RW^{-1}(K)R^{-1})$$

Problem: For $U \subset C$ find the smallest convex and G-invariant subset of C containing U.

Question: Can we tell convexity of U by looking at $U \cap \{\text{diagonal matrices}\}$?

Answer: Maybe! If we can solve

Two difficult problems

Problem 1: For $\{a,b\} \subset (\mathbb{R}^3)^+$ find L(a,b)—the smallest subset of $(\mathbb{R}^3)^+$ such that

1.
$$\{a,b\} \subset L(a,b)$$

- 2. $L(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is convex
- 3. $L(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is S_3 -invariant

 $\sigma \in S_3$ acts nonlinearly:

$$\sigma \cdot \mathbf{x} = (j \circ \sigma \circ j)(\mathbf{x}), \qquad j(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{x_1}, x_2, x_3\right)$$

Two difficult problems

Problem 2(Conjecture): $\forall \{a, b\} \subset (\mathbb{R}^3)^+$ the set W(SO(3)J(L(a,b))) is convex.

$$J: (\mathbb{R}^3)^+ \to \text{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad J(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W(\mathbf{L}) = [(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L})^{-1} - \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1]^{-1}$$

Reformulation

 $V = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ —a vector space $C = W(\operatorname{Sym}^+(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is a convex subset of V G = SO(3) acts non-linearly on C

$$R \cdot K = W(RW^{-1}(K)R^{-1})$$

Problem: For $U \subset C$ find the smallest convex and G-invariant subset of C containing U.

Theorem: If the conjecture is true then an SO(3)-invariant susbset U is convex if and only if $U \cap \{\text{diagonal matrices}\}$ is convex.

Another approach

Chandler Davis theorem: SO(3)-invariant function $f(\mathbf{A})$ is convex if and only if its restriction to diagonal matrices is convex.

Philosophy: $CXF(C) \rightarrow CXS(C)$ (level sets) $CXS(C \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow CXF(C)$ (epigraphs)

G acts on $C \times \mathbb{R}$ by $g \cdot (x, \alpha) = (gx, \alpha)$.

Definitions

ullet A convex subset T of C is called a transversal if

$$\forall_{x \in C} \ \mathcal{O}_x^T = T \cap \mathcal{O}_x \neq \emptyset.$$

ullet A function $f:C o\mathbb{R}$ is called $G ext{-invariant}$ if

$$\forall_{x \in C} \ \forall_{g \in G} \ f(gx) = f(x).$$

- $U \subset T$ is called *G*-invariant if $U = GU \cap T$.
- For $U \subset T$, let L(U) be the smallest convex, G-invariant subset of T containing U.
- For $U \subset C$, let $\mathcal{L}(U)$ be the smallest convex, G-invariant subset of C containing U.

First generalization

Theorem: $\mathcal{L}(U) = GL(U \cap T)$, provided

GU = U and $\forall_{\{x_1,x_2\} \subset T} GL(\{x_1,x_2\})$ is convex.

Proof: Almost obvious.

Corollary (first generalization):

G acts on $C \times \mathbb{R}$ by $g \cdot (x, \alpha) = (gx, \alpha)$. $\widehat{T} = T \times \mathbb{R}$

Assume $\forall_{\{\widehat{x}_1,\widehat{x}_2\}\subset\widehat{T}} G \cdot \widehat{L}(\{\widehat{x}_1,\widehat{x}_2\})$ is convex.

Then a G-invariant function is convex if and only if its restriction to T is convex.

Proof: Let f be the restriction of a G-invariant function F from C to T. Then $epi(F) = G \cdot epi(f)$.

More Definitions

• $T^* \subset V'$. f(x) is called (T,T^*) -regular if $f(x) = \sup_{y \in T^*} (y(x) - \alpha(y))$

- For $x \in T$, let $L(x) = L(\{x\})$.
- $\bullet \ \psi_y(x) = \sup_{z \in L(x)} y(z)$
- $\bullet \ \widehat{\psi}_y(x) = \psi_y(\mathcal{O}_x^T)$

Second generalization

G acts on $C \subset V$. C—convex.

T—transversal.

 $T^* \subset V'$ arbitrary.

 $\widehat{\psi}_y(x)$ —G-invariant extension of $\psi_y(x)$.

Assume $\widehat{\psi}_y(x)$ is convex on $C \ \forall_{y \in T^*}$.

Then a G-invariant function $F: C \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex on C if its restriction f to T is convex and (T, T^*) -regular.

Proof

$$f = F \upharpoonright T, \quad f(x) = \sup_{y \in T^*} \{y(x) - \alpha(y)\}$$
 Let $\mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \{x \in T : f(x) \le f(x_0)\}.$ Then $L(x_0) \subset \mathcal{F}_{x_0}$. Therefore,
$$f(x_0) \le \sup_{x \in L(x_0)} f(x) \le \sup_{x \in \mathcal{F}_{x_0}} f(x) \le f(x_0).$$

$$f(x_0) = \sup_{x \in L(x_0)} \sup_{y \in T^*} \{y(x) - \alpha(y)\}.$$

$$f(x_0) = \sup_{y \in T^*} \{\psi_y(x_0) - \alpha(y)\}.$$

$$F(x) = \sup_{y \in T^*} \{\psi_y(x) - \alpha(y)\}.$$

Linear group action

Theorem: Assume $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{O}_x^T} y(z) = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{O}_x} y(z)$

for all $x \in C$ and all $y \in T^*$. Then

$$\widehat{\psi}_y(x) = \sup_{g \in G} y(gx).$$

Proof: conv $(\mathcal{O}_x^T) \subset L(x) \subset \text{conv}(\mathcal{O}_x) \cap T$.

$$\sup_{z \in \mathsf{conv}(\mathcal{O}_x^T)} y(z) \leq \psi_y(x) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathsf{conv}(\mathcal{O}_x)} y(z).$$

So,
$$\psi_y(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{O}_x} y(z)$$
.

Remark: Kostant's convexity theorem yields the assumption for the co-adjoint action of a semi-simple Lie group on its Lie algebra dual.

Example: 2D conductivity

$$V = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

$$C = \left\{ \mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{12} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix} : k_{11} > -1, k_{22} < 1 \right\}.$$

$$T = \{ \mathbf{K} \in C : (1 + k_{11})(1 - k_{22}) \ge 1, k_{12} = 0 \}.$$

Theorem: $T^* = \{Y : y_{11} \ge 0, y_{22} \le 0\}.$

$$\widehat{\psi}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{K}) = y_{22} - y_{11} + \psi_0 \left(\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 4\lambda} \right) / 2\lambda,$$

$$\psi_0 = y_{11}a_{11} - y_{22}a_{22}$$

$$\Delta = \lambda + 1 + k_{12}^2$$

$$a_{11} = 1 + k_{11}$$

$$a_{22} = 1 - k_{22}$$

$$\lambda = a_{11}a_{22}$$

Applications

