

Optimal Stopping for Non-linear Expectations

Song Yao

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan

A joint work with

Erhan Bayraktar, *University of Michigan*

6th World Congress of the Bachelier Finance Society

Toronto, Canada 6/23/2010

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **F**-Expectations and Their Properties
- 3 Collections of F-Expectations
- 4 Optimal Stopping with Multiple Priors

BSDEs and g -Expectations

Given a $B.M.$ \mathbf{B} on a proba. space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , consider the **Backward SDE**:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1)$$

g -expectation via BSDE (Peng '93)

- Assume that the generator g is Lipschitz in (y, z) . For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$, (1) admits a unique solution (Y^ξ, Z^ξ) .
- The solution mapping $\mathcal{E}_g : \xi \mapsto Y_0^\xi$ is called a g -expectation; And $\forall t \in [0, T]$, the *conditional g -expectation* of ξ w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_t^B is defined by $\mathcal{E}_g[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t^B] \triangleq Y_t^\xi$.

Note:

If g has **quadratic** growth in z , then one can define (conditional) g -expectation over $L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$.

BSDEs and g -Expectations

Given a B.M. \mathbf{B} on a proba. space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , consider the **Backward SDE**:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1)$$

g -expectation via BSDE (Peng '93)

- Assume that the generator g is Lipschitz in (y, z) . For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$, (1) admits a unique solution (Y^ξ, Z^ξ) .
- The solution mapping $\mathcal{E}_g : \xi \mapsto Y_0^\xi$ is called a g -expectation; And $\forall t \in [0, T]$, the g -expectation of ξ w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_t^B is defined by $\mathcal{E}_g[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t^B] \triangleq Y_t^\xi$.

Note:

If g has **quadratic** growth in z , then one can define (conditional) g -expectation over $L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$.

BSDEs and g -Expectations

Given a B.M. \mathbf{B} on a proba. space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , consider the **Backward SDE**:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1)$$

g -expectation via BSDE (Peng '93)

- Assume that the generator g is Lipschitz in (y, z) . For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$, (1) admits a unique solution (Y^ξ, Z^ξ) .
- The solution mapping $\mathcal{E}_g : \xi \mapsto Y_0^\xi$ is called a **g -expectation**; And $\forall t \in [0, T]$, the **conditional g -expectation** of ξ w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_t^B is defined by $\mathcal{E}_g[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t^B] \triangleq Y_t^\xi$.

Note:

If g has **quadratic** growth in z , then one can define (conditional) g -expectation over $L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$.

BSDEs and g -Expectations

Given a $B.M.$ \mathbf{B} on a proba. space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , consider the **Backward SDE**:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1)$$

g -expectation via BSDE (Peng '93)

- Assume that the generator g is Lipschitz in (y, z) . For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$, (1) admits a unique solution (Y^ξ, Z^ξ) .
- The solution mapping $\mathcal{E}_g : \xi \mapsto Y_0^\xi$ is called a **g -expectation**; And $\forall t \in [0, T]$, the **conditional g -expectation** of ξ w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_t^B is defined by $\mathcal{E}_g[\xi | \mathcal{F}_t^B] \triangleq Y_t^\xi$.

Note:

If g has **quadratic** growth in z , then one can define (conditional) g -expectation over $L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$.

Properties of g -Expectations

Assume that $g|_{z=0} = 0$ in (2)-(4) below. For any $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T^B)$

- ① **(Strict) Monotonicity:** If $\xi \leq \eta$, then $\mathcal{E}_g[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t^B] \leq \mathcal{E}_g[\eta|\mathcal{F}_t^B]$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$; Moreover, if “=” holds for some t , then $\xi = \eta$;
- ② **Constant-preserving:** $\mathcal{E}_g[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t^B] = \xi$, if $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t^B)$;
- ③ **Time-consistency:** $\mathcal{E}_g[\mathcal{E}_g[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t^B]|\mathcal{F}_s] = \mathcal{E}_g[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge s}^B]$;
- ④ **“Zero-one law”:** $\mathcal{E}_g[\mathbf{1}_A \xi|\mathcal{F}_t^B] = \mathbf{1}_A \mathcal{E}_g[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t^B]$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_t^B$;
- ⑤ **Translation invariance:** If g is independent of y , then

$$\mathcal{E}_g[\xi + \eta|\mathcal{F}_t^B] = \mathcal{E}_g[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t^B] + \eta, \quad \text{if } \eta \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t^B).$$

Motivation: Optimal Stopping for g -expectations

Given a stopping time ν and an appropriate reward process Y , we are interested in finding a moment $\tau_*(\nu) \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, T}^B$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_g [Y_{\tau_*(\nu)} | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \operatorname{esssup}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, T}^B} \mathcal{E}_g [Y_\gamma | \mathcal{F}_\nu],$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\nu, T}^B \triangleq \{\mathbf{F}^B\text{-stopping times } \gamma : \nu \leq \gamma \leq T\}$.

Motivation: Optimal Stopping for g -expectations

Given a stopping time ν and an appropriate reward process Y , we are interested in finding a moment $\tau_*(\nu) \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, T}^B$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_g [Y_{\tau_*(\nu)} | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \operatorname{esssup}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, T}^B} \mathcal{E}_g [Y_\gamma | \mathcal{F}_\nu],$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{\nu, T}^B \triangleq \{\mathbf{F}^B\text{-stopping times } \gamma : \nu \leq \gamma \leq T\}$.

Filtration-Consistent Nonlinear Expectations

- $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ — a generic right-continuous filtration on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) ;
- $\mathcal{S}_{\nu, \gamma} \triangleq \{\mathbf{F}\text{-stopping times } \sigma : \nu \leq \sigma \leq \gamma\}$.

An **F-consistent non-linear expectation** (**F-expectation** for short) with domain $Dom(\mathcal{E}) = \Lambda \subset L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ is a family of operators $\{\mathcal{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\nu] :$

$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_\nu \triangleq \Lambda \cap L^0(\mathcal{F}_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}}$ such that for any $\forall \xi, \eta \in \Lambda$

- (A1) (Strict) Monotonicity:** If $\xi \leq \eta$, then $\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq \mathcal{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_\nu]$,
 $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$; Moreover, if “=” holds for some $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$, then $\xi = \eta$;
- (A2) Time Consistency:** $\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] | \mathcal{F}_\gamma] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\nu \wedge \gamma}]$, $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$;
- (A3) “Zero-one Law”:** $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathbf{1}_A \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu]$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$;
- (A4) Translation Invariance :** $\mathcal{E}[\xi + \eta | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] + \eta$, if $\eta \in \Lambda_\nu$.

Note: (A3)+(A4) \implies “Constant-preserving”.

Filtration-Consistent Nonlinear Expectations

- $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ — a generic right-continuous filtration on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) ;
- $\mathcal{S}_{\nu, \gamma} \triangleq \{\mathbf{F}\text{-stopping times } \sigma : \nu \leq \sigma \leq \gamma\}$.

An **F-consistent non-linear expectation** (**F**-expectation for short) with domain $Dom(\mathcal{E}) = \Lambda \subset L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ is a family of operators $\{\mathcal{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\nu] :$

$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_\nu \triangleq \Lambda \cap L^0(\mathcal{F}_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}}$ such that for any $\forall \xi, \eta \in \Lambda$

- (A1) (Strict) Monotonicity:** If $\xi \leq \eta$, then $\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq \mathcal{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_\nu]$,
 $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$; Moreover, if “=” holds for some $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$, then $\xi = \eta$;
- (A2) Time Consistency:** $\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] | \mathcal{F}_\gamma] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\nu \wedge \gamma}]$, $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$;
- (A3) “Zero-one Law”:** $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathbf{1}_A \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu]$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$;
- (A4) Translation Invariance :** $\mathcal{E}[\xi + \eta | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] + \eta$, if $\eta \in \Lambda_\nu$.

Note: (A3)+(A4) \implies “Constant-preserving”.

Filtration-Consistent Nonlinear Expectations

- $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ — a generic right-continuous filtration on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) ;
- $\mathcal{S}_{\nu, \gamma} \triangleq \{\mathbf{F}\text{-stopping times } \sigma : \nu \leq \sigma \leq \gamma\}$.

An **F-consistent non-linear expectation** (**F**-expectation for short) with domain $Dom(\mathcal{E}) = \Lambda \subset L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ is a family of operators $\{\mathcal{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\nu] :$

$\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_\nu \triangleq \Lambda \cap L^0(\mathcal{F}_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}}$ such that for any $\forall \xi, \eta \in \Lambda$

- (A1) (Strict) Monotonicity:** If $\xi \leq \eta$, then $\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq \mathcal{E}[\eta | \mathcal{F}_\nu]$,
 $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$; Moreover, if “=” holds for some $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$, then $\xi = \eta$;
- (A2) Time Consistency:** $\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] | \mathcal{F}_\gamma] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_{\nu \wedge \gamma}]$, $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$;
- (A3) “Zero-one Law”:** $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathbf{1}_A \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu]$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$;
- (A4) Translation Invariance :** $\mathcal{E}[\xi + \eta | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] + \eta$, if $\eta \in \Lambda_\nu$.

Note: (A3)+(A4) \implies “Constant-preserving”.

Algebraic requirements on domain $Dom(\mathcal{E}) = \Lambda$

Obviously, (A3) and (A4) entail that

- For any $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$, both $\xi + \eta$ and $\mathbf{1}_A \xi$ belong to Λ , which implies that $\xi \vee \eta = \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi > \eta\}} + \eta \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi \leq \eta\}} \in \Lambda$, similarly, $\xi \wedge \eta \in \Lambda$;

Moreover, we assume that $\mathbb{R} \subset \Lambda$ and that

- Λ is **positively solid** : For any $\xi, \eta \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ with $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta$, if $\eta \in \Lambda$, then $\xi \in \Lambda$ as well.

Example

$L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $0 \leq p \leq \infty$, are candidates for Λ described above.

Algebraic requirements on domain $Dom(\mathcal{E}) = \Lambda$

Obviously, (A3) and (A4) entail that

- For any $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$, both $\xi + \eta$ and $\mathbf{1}_A \xi$ belong to Λ , which implies that $\xi \vee \eta = \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi > \eta\}} + \eta \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi \leq \eta\}} \in \Lambda$, similarly, $\xi \wedge \eta \in \Lambda$;

Moreover, we assume that $\mathbb{R} \subset \Lambda$ and that

- Λ is **positively solid** : For any $\xi, \eta \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ with $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta$, if $\eta \in \Lambda$, then $\xi \in \Lambda$ as well.

Example

$L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $0 \leq p \leq \infty$, are candidates for Λ described above.

Algebraic requirements on domain $Dom(\mathcal{E}) = \Lambda$

Obviously, (A3) and (A4) entail that

- For any $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$, both $\xi + \eta$ and $\mathbf{1}_A \xi$ belong to Λ , which implies that $\xi \vee \eta = \xi \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi > \eta\}} + \eta \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi \leq \eta\}} \in \Lambda$, similarly, $\xi \wedge \eta \in \Lambda$;

Moreover, we assume that $\mathbb{R} \subset \Lambda$ and that

- Λ is **positively solid** : For any $\xi, \eta \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ with $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta$, if $\eta \in \Lambda$, then $\xi \in \Lambda$ as well.

Example

$L^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $0 \leq p \leq \infty$, are candidates for Λ described above.

Assumptions on **F**-expectations

To extend Fatou's lemma, the Dominated Convergence Theorem and etc. to the **F**-expectation \mathcal{E} , we assume

- (H0)** For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ with $P(A) > 0$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}[n\mathbf{1}_A] = \infty$;
- (H1)** For any $\xi \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$ and any $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathbf{1}_{A_n} = 1$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathcal{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_n}\xi] = \mathcal{E}[\xi]$;
- (H2)** For any $\xi, \eta \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$ and any $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \mathbf{1}_{A_n} = 0$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \mathcal{E}[\xi + \mathbf{1}_{A_n}\eta] = \mathcal{E}[\xi]$;

where $\text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E}) \triangleq \{\xi \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}) : \xi \geq 0\}$.

Note:

(H0)-(H2) are satisfied by the linear expectation E , Lipschitz and quadratic g -expectations.

Assumptions on **F**-expectations

To extend Fatou's lemma, the Dominated Convergence Theorem and etc. to the **F**-expectation \mathcal{E} , we assume

- (H0)** For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$ with $P(A) > 0$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}[n\mathbf{1}_A] = \infty$;
- (H1)** For any $\xi \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$ and any $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathbf{1}_{A_n} = 1$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow \mathcal{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_n}\xi] = \mathcal{E}[\xi]$;
- (H2)** For any $\xi, \eta \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$ and any $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \mathbf{1}_{A_n} = 0$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \mathcal{E}[\xi + \mathbf{1}_{A_n}\eta] = \mathcal{E}[\xi]$;

where $\text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E}) \triangleq \{\xi \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E}) : \xi \geq 0\}$.

Note:

(H0)-(H2) are satisfied by the linear expectation E , Lipschitz and quadratic g -expectations.

Basic Properties

Fatou's Lemma

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ that converges a.s. to some $\xi \in Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$, then for any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$

$$\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_\nu].$$

Dominated Convergence Theorem

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ that converges a.s. to some ξ . If there is an $\eta \in Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\xi_n \leq \eta$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\xi \in Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ and for any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu].$$

Basic Properties

Fatou's Lemma

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ that converges a.s. to some $\xi \in Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$, then for any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$

$$\mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_\nu].$$

Dominated Convergence Theorem

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ that converges a.s. to some ξ . If there is an $\eta \in Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\xi_n \leq \eta$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\xi \in Dom^+(\mathcal{E})$ and for any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}[\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_\nu] = \mathcal{E}[\xi | \mathcal{F}_\nu].$$

- An \mathbf{F} -adapted process X is said to be an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale) if for any $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $X_t \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \leq$ (resp. \geq) X_s .

Proposition

Let X be a non-negative \mathcal{E} -supermartingale.

(1) $P\left(X_t^+ \triangleq \lim_{r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \downarrow t} X_r \text{ exists } \forall t \in [0, T]\right) = 1$. To wit, X^+ defines an RCLL \mathbf{F} -adapted process.

(2) If $X_t^+ \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, then X^+ is an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale such that $X_t^+ \leq X_t$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}[X_t]$ is right continuous, then X^+ is an RCLL modification of X .

Optional Sampling Theorem

Let X be a non-negative right-continuous \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale). If $X_\nu \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}[X_\nu | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \leq \text{(resp. } \geq \text{)} X_{\nu \wedge \sigma}, \quad \forall \nu, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}.$$

- An \mathbf{F} -adapted process X is said to be an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale) if for any $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $X_t \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \leq$ (resp. \geq) X_s .

Proposition

Let X be a non-negative \mathcal{E} -supermartingale.

(1) $P\left(X_t^+ \triangleq \lim_{r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \downarrow t} X_r \text{ exists } \forall t \in [0, T]\right) = 1$. To wit, X^+ defines an RCLL \mathbf{F} -adapted process.

(2) If $X_t^+ \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, then X^+ is an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale such that $X_t^+ \leq X_t$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}[X_t]$ is right continuous, then X^+ is an RCLL modification of X .

Optional Sampling Theorem

Let X be a non-negative right-continuous \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale). If $X_\nu \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}[X_\nu | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \leq \text{(resp. } \geq \text{)} X_{\nu \wedge \sigma}, \quad \forall \nu, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0, T}.$$

- An \mathbf{F} -adapted process X is said to be an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale) if for any $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $X_t \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \leq$ (resp. \geq) X_s .

Proposition

Let X be a non-negative \mathcal{E} -supermartingale.

(1) $P\left(X_t^+ \triangleq \lim_{r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \downarrow t} X_r \text{ exists } \forall t \in [0, T]\right) = 1$. To wit, X^+ defines an RCLL \mathbf{F} -adapted process.

(2) If $X_t^+ \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, then X^+ is an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale such that $X_t^+ \leq X_t$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}[X_t]$ is right continuous, then X^+ is an RCLL modification of X .

Optional Sampling Theorem

Let X be a non-negative right-continuous \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale). If $X_\nu \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}[X_\nu | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \leq \text{(resp. } \geq) X_{\nu \wedge \sigma}, \quad \forall \nu, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}.$$

- An \mathbf{F} -adapted process X is said to be an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale) if for any $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $X_t \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \leq$ (resp. \geq) X_s .

Proposition

Let X be a non-negative \mathcal{E} -supermartingale.

(1) $P\left(X_t^+ \triangleq \lim_{r \in \mathbb{Q}, r \downarrow t} X_r \text{ exists } \forall t \in [0, T]\right) = 1$. To wit, X^+ defines an RCLL \mathbf{F} -adapted process.

(2) If $X_t^+ \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, then X^+ is an \mathcal{E} -supermartingale such that $X_t^+ \leq X_t$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}[X_t]$ is right continuous, then X^+ is an RCLL modification of X .

Optional Sampling Theorem

Let X be a non-negative right-continuous \mathcal{E} -supermartingale (resp. \mathcal{E} -submartingale). If $X_\nu \in \text{Dom}^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, then

$$\mathcal{E}[X_\nu | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \leq \text{(resp. } \geq \text{)} X_{\nu \wedge \sigma}, \quad \forall \nu, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}.$$

Pasting two **F**-Expectations

- Let $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ be two **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2).

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the **pasting** of $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ at ν is defined by the following RCLL **F**-adapted process

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \triangleq \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} \mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\nu]|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is an **F**-expectation with domain Λ^+ and satisfying (H1), (H2). Moreover, if \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{E}_j are both **positively-convex**, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is convex.

Note:

- For any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\sigma] = \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{\nu \vee \sigma}]|\mathcal{F}_\sigma]$.
- Pasting may not preserve (H0). But, positive-convexity implies (H0).

Pasting two **F**-Expectations

- Let $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ be two **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2).

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the **pasting** of $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ at ν is defined by the following RCLL **F**-adapted process

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \triangleq \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} \mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\nu]|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is an **F**-expectation with domain Λ^+ and satisfying (H1), (H2). Moreover, if \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{E}_j are both **positively-convex**, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is convex.

Note:

- For any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\sigma] = \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{\nu \vee \sigma}]|\mathcal{F}_\sigma]$.
- Pasting may not preserve (H0). But, positive-convexity implies (H0).

Pasting two **F**-Expectations

- Let $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ be two **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2).

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the **pasting** of $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ at ν is defined by the following RCLL **F**-adapted process

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \triangleq \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} \mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\nu]|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is an **F**-expectation with domain Λ^+ and satisfying (H1), (H2). Moreover, if \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{E}_j are both **positively-convex**, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is convex.

Note:

- For any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\sigma] = \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{\nu \vee \sigma}]|\mathcal{F}_\sigma]$.
- Pasting may not preserve (H0). But, positive-convexity implies (H0).

Pasting two **F**-Expectations

- Let $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ be two **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2).

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the **pasting** of $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ at ν is defined by the following RCLL **F**-adapted process

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \triangleq \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} \mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\nu]|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is an **F**-expectation with domain Λ^+ and satisfying (H1), (H2). Moreover, if \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{E}_j are both **positively-convex**, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is convex.

Note:

- For any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\sigma] = \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{\nu \vee \sigma}]|\mathcal{F}_\sigma]$.
- Pasting may not preserve (H0). But, positive-convexity implies (H0).

Pasting two **F**-Expectations

- Let $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ be two **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2).

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the **pasting** of $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j$ at ν is defined by the following RCLL **F**-adapted process

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] \triangleq \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} \mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_t] + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\nu]|\mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is an **F**-expectation with domain Λ^+ and satisfying (H1), (H2). Moreover, if \mathcal{E}_i and \mathcal{E}_j are both **positively-convex**, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu$ is convex.

Note:

- For any $\xi \in \Lambda^+$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu[\xi|\mathcal{F}_\sigma] = \mathcal{E}_i[\mathcal{E}_j[\xi|\mathcal{F}_{\nu \vee \sigma}]|\mathcal{F}_\sigma]$.
- Pasting may not preserve (H0). But, positive-convexity implies (H0).

Stable Classes

A class $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of **F**-expectations is said to be *stable* if

- (1) All \mathcal{E}_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}$ are positively-convex **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2);
- (2) \mathcal{E} is closed under **pasting**: namely, for any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, \mathcal{T}}$, there exists a $k = k(i, j, \nu) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu = \mathcal{E}_k$ over Λ^+ .

- We shall denote $Dom(\mathcal{E}) \triangleq \Lambda^+ = Dom^+(\mathcal{E}_i)$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Stable Classes

A class $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of **F**-expectations is said to be *stable* if

- (1) All \mathcal{E}_i , $i \in \mathcal{I}$ are positively-convex **F**-expectations with the same domain Λ and satisfying (H1), (H2);
- (2) \mathcal{E} is closed under **pasting**: namely, for any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0, \mathcal{T}}$, there exists a $k = k(i, j, \nu) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}^\nu = \mathcal{E}_k$ over Λ^+ .

- We shall denote $Dom(\mathcal{E}) \triangleq \Lambda^+ = Dom^+(\mathcal{E}_i)$, $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Optimal Stopping with Multiple Priors

The stopper aims to find an optimal moment in a situation of multiple priors and the *Nature* is in cooperation with the stopper. More precisely, the stopper finds an optimal stopping time τ^* that satisfies

$$\sup_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\tau^*}^i], \quad (2)$$

◀ Construct

where

- $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a **stable** class of **F**-expectations,
- $Y_t^i \triangleq Y_t + \int_0^t h_s^i ds, \forall t \in [0, T]$: Y is a primary reward process, and h^i is a *model*-dependent cumulative reward process.

Optimal Stopping with Multiple Priors

The stopper aims to find an optimal moment in a situation of multiple priors and the *Nature* is in cooperation with the stopper. More precisely, the stopper finds an optimal stopping time τ^* that satisfies

$$\sup_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\tau^*}^i], \quad (2)$$

◀ Construct

where

- $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a **stable** class of **F**-expectations,
- $Y_t^i \triangleq Y_t + \int_0^t h_s^i ds, \forall t \in [0, T]$: Y is a primary reward process, and h^i is a *model*-dependent cumulative reward process.

Optimal Stopping with Multiple Priors

The stopper aims to find an optimal moment in a situation of multiple priors and the *Nature* is in cooperation with the stopper. More precisely, the stopper finds an optimal stopping time τ^* that satisfies

$$\sup_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\tau^*}^i], \quad (2)$$

◀ Construct

where

- $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a **stable** class of **F**-expectations,
- $Y_t^i \triangleq Y_t + \int_0^t h_s^i ds, \forall t \in [0, T]$: Y is a primary reward process, and h^i is a *model*-dependent cumulative reward process.

Assumptions on Reward Processes

- Y — a non-negative right-continuous \mathbf{F} -adapted process such that $Y_\nu \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$.
- $\{h^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ — a family of non-negative progressive processes such that
 - (1) For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\int_0^T h_t^i dt \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$;
 - (2) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$h_t^k = \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} h_t^j + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} h_t^i, \quad dt \times dP\text{-a.s.},$$

where $k = k(i, j, \nu)$ is the index in the definition of stable class.

- Moreover, we assume that $\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] < \infty$.

Note:

In light of (A4), instead of non-negativity, it suffices to assume that $Y \geq c$ for some $c < 0$.

Assumptions on Reward Processes

- Y — a non-negative right-continuous \mathbf{F} -adapted process such that $Y_\nu \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$.
- $\{h^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ — a family of non-negative progressive processes such that
 - (1) For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\int_0^T h_t^i dt \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$;
 - (2) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$h_t^k = \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} h_t^j + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} h_t^i, \quad dt \times dP\text{-a.s.},$$

where $k = k(i, j, \nu)$ is the index in the definition of stable class.

- Moreover, we assume that $\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] < \infty$.

Note:

In light of (A4), instead of non-negativity, it suffices to assume that $Y \geq c$ for some $c < 0$.

Assumptions on Reward Processes

- Y — a non-negative right-continuous \mathbf{F} -adapted process such that $Y_\nu \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$.
- $\{h^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ — a family of non-negative progressive processes such that
 - (1) For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\int_0^T h_t^i dt \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$;
 - (2) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$h_t^k = \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} h_t^j + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} h_t^i, \quad dt \times dP\text{-a.s.},$$

where $k = k(i, j, \nu)$ is the index in the definition of stable class.

- Moreover, we assume that $\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] < \infty$.

Note:

In light of (A4), instead of non-negativity, it suffices to assume that $Y \geq c$ for some $c < 0$.

Assumptions on Reward Processes

- Y — a non-negative right-continuous \mathbf{F} -adapted process such that $Y_\nu \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$, $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$.
- $\{h^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ — a family of non-negative progressive processes such that
 - (1) For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\int_0^T h_t^i dt \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{E})$;
 - (2) For any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$, $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$h_t^k = \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu \leq t\}} h_t^j + \mathbf{1}_{\{\nu > t\}} h_t^i, \quad dt \times dP\text{-a.s.},$$

where $k = k(i, j, \nu)$ is the index in the definition of stable class.

- Moreover, we assume that $\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma^i] < \infty$.

Note:

In light of (A4), instead of non-negativity, it suffices to assume that $Y \geq c$ for some $c < 0$.

\mathcal{E} -upper Snell Envelope

- $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we define $Z(\nu) \triangleq \operatorname{esssup}_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma + \int_\nu^\gamma h_t^\gamma dt | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \geq Y_\nu$.
- $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we set $Z^i(\nu) \triangleq Z(\nu) + \int_0^\nu h_t^i dt$.

Proposition

Given $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$, one has $\mathcal{E}_i[Z^i(\gamma) | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq Z^i(\nu)$, which shows that $\{Z^i(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale. Moreover the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ admits an RCLL modification Z^0 .

We call Z^0 the \mathcal{E} -upper Snell envelope of Y : It is the smallest RCLL \mathcal{F} -adapted process dominating Y such that $\{Z_t^0 + \int_0^t h_s^i ds\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

\mathcal{E} -upper Snell Envelope

- $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we define $Z(\nu) \triangleq \operatorname{esssup}_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_\gamma + \int_\nu^\gamma h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] \geq Y_\nu$.
- $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we set $Z^i(\nu) \triangleq Z(\nu) + \int_0^\nu h_t^i dt$.

Proposition

Given $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$, one has $\mathcal{E}_i[Z^i(\gamma) \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq Z^i(\nu)$, which shows that $\{Z^i(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale. Moreover the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ admits an RCLL modification Z^0 .

We call Z^0 the \mathcal{E} -upper Snell envelope of Y : It is the smallest RCLL \mathcal{F} -adapted process dominating Y such that $\{Z_t^0 + \int_0^t h_s^i ds\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

\mathcal{E} -upper Snell Envelope

- $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we define $Z(\nu) \triangleq \operatorname{esssup}_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_\gamma + \int_\nu^\gamma h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] \geq Y_\nu$.
- $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we set $Z^i(\nu) \triangleq Z(\nu) + \int_0^\nu h_t^i dt$.

Proposition

Given $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$, one has $\mathcal{E}_i[Z^i(\gamma) \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq Z^i(\nu)$, which shows that $\{Z^i(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale. Moreover the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ admits an RCLL modification Z^0 .

We call Z^0 the \mathcal{E} -upper Snell envelope of Y : It is the smallest RCLL \mathcal{F} -adapted process dominating Y such that $\{Z_t^0 + \int_0^t h_s^i ds\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

\mathcal{E} -upper Snell Envelope

- $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we define $Z(\nu) \triangleq \operatorname{esssup}_{(i,\gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_\gamma + \int_\nu^\gamma h_t^i dt | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \geq Y_\nu$.
- $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, we set $Z^i(\nu) \triangleq Z(\nu) + \int_0^\nu h_t^i dt$.

Proposition

Given $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$, one has $\mathcal{E}_i[Z^i(\gamma) | \mathcal{F}_\nu] \leq Z^i(\nu)$, which shows that $\{Z^i(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale. Moreover the process $\{Z(t)\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ admits an RCLL modification Z^0 .

We call Z^0 the \mathcal{E} -upper Snell envelope of Y : It is the smallest RCLL \mathbf{F} -adapted process dominating Y such that $\{Z_t^0 + \int_0^t h_s^i ds\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is an \mathcal{E}_i -supermartingale for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$.

Constructing an Optimal Stopping Time

- Given $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the stopping time $\tau_\delta(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Y_t \geq \delta Z_t^0\} \wedge T$ is increasing in $\delta \in (0, 1)$.
- Set $\bar{\tau}(\nu) \triangleq \lim_{\delta \nearrow 1} \tau_\delta(\nu)$. Then $\bar{\tau}(0)$ is an optimal stopping time for (2).

◀OSP

Definition

The family $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called *\mathcal{E} -uniformly-left-continuous* if $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$ and for any sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}$ with $\gamma_n \nearrow \gamma$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \mathcal{E}_i \left[\frac{n}{n-1} Y_{\gamma_n} + \int_0^{\gamma_n} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] - \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_\gamma^i \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] \right| = 0.$$

Constructing an Optimal Stopping Time

- Given $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the stopping time $\tau_\delta(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Y_t \geq \delta Z_t^0\} \wedge T$ is increasing in $\delta \in (0, 1)$.
- Set $\bar{\tau}(\nu) \triangleq \lim_{\delta \nearrow 1} \tau_\delta(\nu)$. Then $\bar{\tau}(0)$ is an optimal stopping time for (2).

◀ O.S.P.

Definition

The family $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called *\mathcal{E} -uniformly-left-continuous* if $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$ and for any sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}$ with $\gamma_n \nearrow \gamma$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \mathcal{E}_i \left[\frac{n}{n-1} Y_{\gamma_n} + \int_0^{\gamma_n} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] - \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_\gamma^i \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] \right| = 0.$$

Constructing an Optimal Stopping Time

- Given $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$, the stopping time $\tau_\delta(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Y_t \geq \delta Z_t^0\} \wedge T$ is increasing in $\delta \in (0, 1)$.
- Set $\bar{\tau}(\nu) \triangleq \lim_{\delta \nearrow 1} \tau_\delta(\nu)$. Then $\bar{\tau}(0)$ is an optimal stopping time for (2).

◀ O.S.P.

Definition

The family $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called *\mathcal{E} -uniformly-left-continuous* if $\forall \nu, \gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ with $\nu \leq \gamma$ and for any sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\nu,T}$ with $\gamma_n \nearrow \gamma$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \left| \mathcal{E}_i \left[\frac{n}{n-1} Y_{\gamma_n} + \int_0^{\gamma_n} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] - \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_\gamma^i \mid \mathcal{F}_\nu \right] \right| = 0.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is “ \mathcal{G} -uniformly-left-continuous”.

- $\bar{\tau}(\nu) = \tau_1(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$.
- For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, \bar{\tau}(\nu)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\nu) &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\bar{\tau}(\nu)) + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] = \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\gamma) + \int_{\nu}^{\gamma} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $\nu = 0$, $\bar{\tau}(0) = \inf \{t \in [0, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\gamma}^i] = Z(0) = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\bar{\tau}(0)}^i].$$

Conclusion: $\bar{\tau}(0)$, the first time the Snell envelope Z^0 meets Y after time $t = 0$, is an optimal stopping time for (2).

Theorem

Assume that $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is " \mathcal{G} -uniformly-left-continuous".

- $\bar{\tau}(\nu) = \tau_1(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$.
- For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, \bar{\tau}(\nu)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\nu) &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\bar{\tau}(\nu)) + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] = \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\gamma) + \int_{\nu}^{\gamma} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $\nu = 0$, $\bar{\tau}(0) = \inf \{t \in [0, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\gamma}^i] = Z(0) = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\bar{\tau}(0)}^i].$$

Conclusion: $\bar{\tau}(0)$, the first time the Snell envelope Z^0 meets Y after time $t = 0$, is an optimal stopping time for (2).

Theorem

Assume that $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is " \mathcal{G} -uniformly-left-continuous".

- $\bar{\tau}(\nu) = \tau_1(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$.
- For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, \bar{\tau}(\nu)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\nu) &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\bar{\tau}(\nu)) + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] = \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\gamma) + \int_{\nu}^{\gamma} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $\nu = 0$, $\bar{\tau}(0) = \inf \{t \in [0, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\gamma}^i] = Z(0) = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\bar{\tau}(0)}^i].$$

Conclusion: $\bar{\tau}(0)$, the first time the Snell envelope Z^0 meets Y after time $t = 0$, is an optimal stopping time for (2).

Theorem

Assume that $\{Y^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is " \mathcal{G} -uniformly-left-continuous".

- $\bar{\tau}(\nu) = \tau_1(\nu) \triangleq \inf \{t \in [\nu, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$.
- For any $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{0,T}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\nu, \bar{\tau}(\nu)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\nu) &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Y_{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\bar{\tau}(\nu)) + \int_{\nu}^{\bar{\tau}(\nu)} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right] = \operatorname{esssup}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i \left[Z(\gamma) + \int_{\nu}^{\gamma} h_t^i dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{\nu} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $\nu = 0$, $\bar{\tau}(0) = \inf \{t \in [0, T] : Z_t^0 = Y_t\}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{(i, \gamma) \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{S}_{0,T}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\gamma}^i] = Z(0) = \sup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{E}_i [Y_{\bar{\tau}(0)}^i].$$

Conclusion: $\bar{\tau}(0)$, the **first** time the Snell envelope Z^0 meets Y after time $t = 0$, is an optimal stopping time for (2).