

Quantum symmetric states on universal free product C^* -algebras

Ken Dykema,¹ Claus Köstler,² John Williams¹

¹Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX, USA.

²School of Mathematical Sciences
University College Cork
Cork, Ireland

Fields Institute Free Probability Workshop, July 2013

Definition

A sequence of (classical) random variables x_1, x_2, \dots is said to be *exchangeable* if

$$\mathbb{E}(x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)} \cdots x_{i(n)}) = \mathbb{E}(x_{\sigma(i(1))}x_{\sigma(i(2))} \cdots x_{\sigma(i(n))})$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $i(1), \dots, i(n) \in \mathbf{N}$ and every permutation σ of \mathbf{N} .

Definition

A sequence of (classical) random variables x_1, x_2, \dots is said to be *exchangeable* if

$$\mathbb{E}(x_{i(1)}x_{i(2)} \cdots x_{i(n)}) = \mathbb{E}(x_{\sigma(i(1))}x_{\sigma(i(2))} \cdots x_{\sigma(i(n))})$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $i(1), \dots, i(n) \in \mathbf{N}$ and every permutation σ of \mathbf{N} .

That is, if the joint distribution of $x_1, x_2 \dots$ is invariant under re-orderings.

Theorem [de Finetti, 1937]

A sequence of random variables x_1, x_2, \dots is exchangeable if and only if the random variables are conditionally independent and identically distributed over its tail σ -algebra.

De Finetti's Theorem

Theorem [de Finetti, 1937]

A sequence of random variables x_1, x_2, \dots is exchangeable if and only if the random variables are conditionally independent and identically distributed over its tail σ -algebra.

Definition

The tail σ -algebra is the intersection of the σ -algebras generated by $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots\}$ as N goes to ∞ .

De Finetti's Theorem

Theorem [de Finetti, 1937]

A sequence of random variables x_1, x_2, \dots is exchangeable if and only if the random variables are conditionally independent and identically distributed over its tail σ -algebra.

Definition

The tail σ -algebra is the intersection of the σ -algebras generated by $\{x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots\}$ as N goes to ∞ .

Thus, the expectation \mathbb{E} can be seen as an integral (w.r.t. a probability measure on the tail algebra) — that is, as a sort of convex combination — of expectations with respect to which the random variables x_1, x_2, \dots are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.).

Symmetric states

Størmer extended this result to the realm of C^* -algebras.

Definition

Consider the minimal tensor product $B = \bigotimes_1^\infty A$ of a C^* -algebra A with itself infinitely many times. A state on B is said to be *symmetric* if it is invariant under the action of the group S_∞ by permutations of tensor factors.

Symmetric states

Størmer extended this result to the realm of C^* -algebras.

Definition

Consider the minimal tensor product $B = \bigotimes_1^\infty A$ of a C^* -algebra A with itself infinitely many times. A state on B is said to be *symmetric* if it is invariant under the action of the group S_∞ by permutations of tensor factors.

Note that the set of $SS(A)$ of symmetric states on B is a closed, convex set in the set $S(B)$ of all states on B .

Symmetric states

Størmer extended this result to the realm of C^* -algebras.

Definition

Consider the minimal tensor product $B = \bigotimes_1^\infty A$ of a C^* -algebra A with itself infinitely many times. A state on B is said to be *symmetric* if it is invariant under the action of the group S_∞ by permutations of tensor factors.

Note that the set of $SS(A)$ of symmetric states on B is a closed, convex set in the set $S(B)$ of all states on B .

Theorem [Størmer, 1969]

The extreme points of $SS(A)$ are the infinite tensor product states, i.e. those of the form $\bigotimes_1^\infty \phi$ for $\phi \in S(A)$ a state of A . Moreover, $SS(A)$ is a Choquet simplex, so every symmetric state on B is an integral w.r.t. a *unique* probability measure of infinite tensor product states.

The quantum permutation group $A_s(n)$

$A_s(n)$ is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by a family of projections $(u_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ subject to the relations

$$\forall i \sum_j u_{i,j} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall j \sum_i u_{i,j} = 1. \quad (1)$$

It is a compact quantum group (with comultiplication, counit and antipode).

The quantum permutation group $A_s(n)$

$A_s(n)$ is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by a family of projections $(u_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ subject to the relations

$$\forall i \sum_j u_{i,j} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall j \sum_i u_{i,j} = 1. \quad (1)$$

It is a compact quantum group (with comultiplication, counit and antipode).

Abelianization of $A_s(n)$

The universal unital C^* -algebra generated by *commuting* projections $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$ satisfying the analogous relations (1) is isomorphic to $C(S_n)$, the continuous functions of the permutation group S_n , with $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$ the characteristic set of the permutations sending j to i . Thus, $C(S_n)$ is a quotient of $A_s(n)$ by a $*$ -homomorphism sending $u_{i,j}$ to $\tilde{u}_{i,j}$.

Invariance under quantum permutations

In a C^* -noncommutative probability space (A, ϕ) , the joint distribution of family $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ is *invariant under quantum permutations* if the natural coaction of $A_s(n)$ leaves the distribution unchanged. Concretely, this amounts to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(x_{i(1)} \cdots x_{i(k)}) 1 \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j(1), \dots, j(k) \leq n} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(k), j(k)} \phi(x_{j(1)} \cdots x_{j(k)}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \in \mathbf{C}1 \subseteq A_s(n). \end{aligned}$$

Fully noncommutative version of permutation invariance

Invariance under quantum permutations

In a C^* -noncommutative probability space (A, ϕ) , the joint distribution of family $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ is *invariant under quantum permutations* if the natural coaction of $A_s(n)$ leaves the distribution unchanged. Concretely, this amounts to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(x_{i(1)} \cdots x_{i(k)}) 1 \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j(1), \dots, j(k) \leq n} u_{i(1), j(1)} \cdots u_{i(k), j(k)} \phi(x_{j(1)} \cdots x_{j(k)}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \in \mathbf{C}1 \subseteq A_s(n). \end{aligned}$$

Invariance under quantum permutations implies invariance under usual permutations

by taking the quotient from $A_s(n)$ onto $C(S_n)$.

Quantum exchangeable random variables and the tail algebra

Definition [Köstler, Speicher '09]

In a C^* -noncommutative probability space, a sequence of random variables $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is *quantum exchangeable* if for every n , the joint distribution of x_1, \dots, x_n is invariant under quantum permutations.

Quantum exchangeable random variables and the tail algebra

Definition [Köstler, Speicher '09]

In a C^* -noncommutative probability space, a sequence of random variables $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is *quantum exchangeable* if for every n , the joint distribution of x_1, \dots, x_n is invariant under quantum permutations.

The *tail algebra* of the sequence is

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} W^*({x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots}).$$

Quantum exchangeable random variables and the tail algebra

Definition [Köstler, Speicher '09]

In a C^* -noncommutative probability space, a sequence of random variables $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is *quantum exchangeable* if for every n , the joint distribution of x_1, \dots, x_n is invariant under quantum permutations.

The *tail algebra* of the sequence is

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} W^*({x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots}).$$

Proposition [Köstler '10] (existence of conditional expectation)

Let $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a quantum exchangeable sequence in a W^* -noncommutative probability space (\mathcal{M}, ϕ) where ϕ is faithful and suppose \mathcal{M} is generated by the x_i . Then there is a unique faithful, ϕ -preserving conditional expectation E from \mathcal{M} onto \mathcal{T} .

Quantum exchangeable \Leftrightarrow free with amalgamation over tail algebra

Theorem [Köstler, Speicher '09]

$(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a quantum exchangeable sequence if and only if the random variables are free with respect to the conditional expectation E (i.e., with amalgamation over the tail algebra).

Quantum exchangeable \Leftrightarrow free with amalgamation over tail algebra

Theorem [Köstler, Speicher '09]

$(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a quantum exchangeable sequence if and only if the random variables are free with respect to the conditional expectation E (i.e., with amalgamation over the tail algebra).

Theorem [D., Köstler]

Given any countably generated von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} and any faithful state ψ on \mathcal{A} , there is a W^* -noncommutative probability space (\mathcal{M}, ϕ) with ϕ faithful and with a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of random variables that is quantum exchangeable with respect to ϕ , and so that their tail algebra \mathcal{T} is a copy of \mathcal{A} so that $\phi|_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equal to ψ .

Generalize in the direction of C^* -algebras, like Størmer did

Instead of considering individual random variables, we consider a unital C^* -algebra A and a state ψ on the universal unital free product C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A} = *_{1}^{\infty} A$, with corresponding embeddings $\lambda_i : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, ($i \geq 1$).

Definition

A state ψ on \mathfrak{A} is *quantum symmetric* if the $*$ -homomorphisms λ_i are quantum exchangeable with respect to ψ , in the sense that, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ and $1 \leq i(1), \dots, i(k) \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\lambda_{i(1)}(a_1) \cdots \lambda_{i(k)}(a_k))1 \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j(1), \dots, j(k) \leq n} u_{i(1),j(1)} \cdots u_{i(k),j(k)} \psi(\lambda_{j(1)}(a_1) \cdots \lambda_{j(k)}(a_k)) \\ & \in \mathbf{C1} \subseteq A_s(n). \end{aligned}$$

Quantum symmetric states yield freeness with amalgamation over the tail algebra

Notation

Let $\text{QSS}(A)$ denote the set of quantum symmetric states on $\mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$. It is a closed, convex subset of the set of all states on \mathfrak{A} .

Quantum symmetric states yield freeness with amalgamation over the tail algebra

Notation

Let $\text{QSS}(A)$ denote the set of quantum symmetric states on $\mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$. It is a closed, convex subset of the set of all states on \mathfrak{A} .

Proposition

Let $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$. Let $\pi_\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi))$ be the GNS representation, let $\mathcal{M}_\psi = W^*(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}))$ and denote by $\hat{\psi}$ the GNS vector state $\langle \cdot, \hat{1} \rangle$ on \mathcal{M}_ψ . Consider the *tail algebra* $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \bigcap_{N=1}^\infty W^*(\bigcup_{i=N}^\infty \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_i(A))$. Then there is a $\hat{\psi}$ -preserving conditional expectation E_ψ from \mathcal{M}_ψ onto \mathcal{T}_ψ .

Quantum symmetric states yield freeness with amalgamation over the tail algebra

Notation

Let $\text{QSS}(A)$ denote the set of quantum symmetric states on $\mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$. It is a closed, convex subset of the set of all states on \mathfrak{A} .

Proposition

Let $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$. Let $\pi_\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B(L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \psi))$ be the GNS representation, let $\mathcal{M}_\psi = W^*(\pi_\psi(\mathfrak{A}))$ and denote by $\hat{\psi}$ the GNS vector state $\langle \cdot \hat{1}, \hat{1} \rangle$ on \mathcal{M}_ψ . Consider the *tail algebra* $\mathcal{T}_\psi = \bigcap_{N=1}^\infty W^*(\bigcup_{i=N}^\infty \pi_\psi \circ \lambda_i(A))$. Then there is a $\hat{\psi}$ -preserving conditional expectation E_ψ from \mathcal{M}_ψ onto \mathcal{T}_ψ .

Theorem

The subalgebras $\pi_\psi \circ \lambda_i(A)$ for $i \geq 1$ are free with respect to the conditional expectation E_ψ onto the tail algebra.

Conversely, freeness with amalgamation leads to quantum symmetric states

Recall $\mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$.

Theorem

Let (B, ϕ) be a C^* -noncommutative probability space and suppose $D \subseteq B$ is a unital C^* -subalgebra with a conditional expectation $E : B \rightarrow D$ and let ρ be a state on B such that $\rho \circ E = \rho$. If $\pi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ -homomorphism such that the states $\rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda_i$ of A are the same for all i and the algebras $(\pi \circ \lambda_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ are free with respect to E , then $\psi = \rho \circ \pi \in \text{QSS}(A)$.

Remarks

- We don't require faithfulness of ψ on \mathfrak{A} , nor of $\hat{\psi}$ on \mathcal{M}_ψ , nor of E_ψ on \mathcal{M}_ψ .
- Only classical exchangeability (not quantum exchangeability) is required for existence of a ψ -preserving conditional expectation $E_\psi : \mathcal{M}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\psi$ onto the tail algebra.
- Our proof are similar to those in [Köstler '10] and [Köstler, Speicher '09].
- Also Stephen Curran ['09] considered quantum exchangeability for sequences of $*$ -homomorphisms of $*$ -algebras and proved freeness with amalgamation; he did require faithfulness of a state, and used different ideas for his proofs.

Goals

To investigate $\text{QSS}(A)$ as a compact, convex subset of $S(\mathfrak{A})$, to characterize its extreme points and to study certain convex subsets:

- the *tracial quantum symmetric states*
 $\text{TQSS}(A) = \text{QSS}(A) \cap TS(\mathfrak{A})$
- the *central quantum symmetric states*
 $\text{ZQSS}(A) = \{\psi \in \text{QSS}(A) \mid \mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq Z(\mathcal{M}_\psi)\}$
- the *tracial central quantum symmetric states*
 $\text{ZTQSS}(A) = \text{ZQSS}(A) \cap \text{TQSS}(A)$.

Description of $\text{QSS}(A)$

There is a bijection $\mathcal{V}(A) \leftrightarrow \text{QSS}(A)$

where $\mathcal{V}(A)$ is the set of all quintuples $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho)$ where

- $1_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra and $E : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a normal conditional expectation
- $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism
- ρ is a normal state on \mathcal{D} so that the state $\rho \circ E$ of \mathcal{B} has faithful GNS rep
- $\mathcal{B} = W^*(\sigma(A) \cup \mathcal{D})$
- \mathcal{D} is the smallest unital von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{B} such that $E(d_0 \sigma(a_1) d_1 \cdots \sigma(a_n) d_n) \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and all $d_0, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}$.

Description of $\text{QSS}(A)$

There is a bijection $\mathcal{V}(A) \leftrightarrow \text{QSS}(A)$

where $\mathcal{V}(A)$ is the set of all quintuples $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho)$ where

- $1_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra and $E : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a normal conditional expectation
- $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism
- ρ is a normal state on \mathcal{D} so that the state $\rho \circ E$ of \mathcal{B} has faithful GNS rep
- $\mathcal{B} = W^*(\sigma(A) \cup \mathcal{D})$
- \mathcal{D} is the smallest unital von Neumann subalgebra of \mathcal{B} such that $E(d_0 \sigma(a_1) d_1 \cdots \sigma(a_n) d_n) \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and all $d_0, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}$.

The bijection takes $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$, constructs the W^* -free product $(\mathcal{M}, F) = (*_{\mathcal{D}})_{\mathbb{1}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}, E)$ with amalgamation over \mathcal{D} , and yields the quantum symmetric state $\rho \circ E \circ (*_{\mathbb{1}}^{\infty} \sigma)$ on $\mathfrak{A} = *_{\mathbb{1}}^{\infty} A$.

Description of $\text{QSS}(A)$ (2)

The correspondence $\mathcal{V}(A) \rightarrow \text{QSS}(A)$

The bijection takes $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$, constructs the W^* -free product $(\mathcal{M}, F) = (*_{\mathcal{D}})_1^\infty(\mathcal{B}, E)$ with amalgamation over \mathcal{D} , and yields the quantum symmetric state $\rho \circ E \circ (*_1^\infty \sigma)$ on $\mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$.

Under the bijection:

from $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho)$	\mathcal{D}	\mathcal{M}	$*_1^\infty \sigma$	F	$\rho \circ F$
from GNS rep of ψ	\mathcal{T}_ψ	\mathcal{M}_ψ	π_ψ	E_ψ	$\hat{\psi}$

Description of $\text{QSS}(A)$ (2)

The correspondence $\mathcal{V}(A) \rightarrow \text{QSS}(A)$

The bijection takes $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$, constructs the W^* -free product $(\mathcal{M}, F) = (*_{\mathcal{D}})_1^\infty(\mathcal{B}, E)$ with amalgamation over \mathcal{D} , and yields the quantum symmetric state $\rho \circ E \circ (*_1^\infty \sigma)$ on $\mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$.

Under the bijection:

from $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho)$	\mathcal{D}	\mathcal{M}	$*_1^\infty \sigma$	F	$\rho \circ F$
from GNS rep of ψ	\mathcal{T}_ψ	\mathcal{M}_ψ	π_ψ	E_ψ	$\hat{\psi}$

Technically, we need to let $\mathcal{V}(A)$ be the set of equivalence classes of quintuples, up to a natural notion of equivalence, and to avoid set theoretic difficulties we need to (and we can) restrict to \mathcal{B} that are represented on some specific Hilbert space.

Extreme quantum symmetric states

Let $\partial_e(\text{QSS}(A))$ be the set of extreme points of $\text{QSS}(A)$.

Theorem

Let $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$ correspond to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$. Then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{QSS}(A))$ if and only if ρ is a pure state on \mathcal{D} .

Extreme quantum symmetric states

Let $\partial_e(\text{QSS}(A))$ be the set of extreme points of $\text{QSS}(A)$.

Theorem

Let $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$ correspond to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$. Then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{QSS}(A))$ if and only if ρ is a pure state on \mathcal{D} .

A very special form

A pure state ρ on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{D} is always of the form $\mathcal{D} = B(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $\rho(a \oplus x) = \langle a\xi, \xi \rangle$ for a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Extreme quantum symmetric states

Let $\partial_e(\text{QSS}(A))$ be the set of extreme points of $\text{QSS}(A)$.

Theorem

Let $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$ correspond to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$. Then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{QSS}(A))$ if and only if ρ is a pure state on \mathcal{D} .

A very special form

A pure state ρ on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{D} is always of the form $\mathcal{D} = B(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $\rho(a \oplus x) = \langle a\xi, \xi \rangle$ for a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Examples of extreme quantum symmetric states

- free product states $\psi = *_1^\infty \phi$ for $\phi \in S(A)$; these correspond to $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}$.
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{QSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C} \oplus L^\infty([0, 1])$.

Let $\text{TQSS}(A)$ be the set of all $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$ that are traces on $\mathfrak{A} = *_{1}^{\infty} A$ and let $\partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$ be the set of extreme points of $\text{TQSS}(A)$.

Theorem

Let $\psi \in \text{TQSS}(A)$ correspond to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$. Let $R(E) = \{\tau \in \text{TS}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \tau \circ E \in \text{TS}(\mathcal{B})\}$. Then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$ if and only if ρ is an extreme point of $R(E)$.

Extreme tracial quantum symmetric states

Let $\text{TQSS}(A)$ be the set of all $\psi \in \text{QSS}(A)$ that are traces on $\mathfrak{A} = *_{1}^{\infty} A$ and let $\partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$ be the set of extreme points of $\text{TQSS}(A)$.

Theorem

Let $\psi \in \text{TQSS}(A)$ correspond to $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$. Let $R(E) = \{\tau \in \text{TS}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \tau \circ E \in \text{TS}(\mathcal{B})\}$. Then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$ if and only if ρ is an extreme point of $R(E)$.

Corollary

If either \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{B} is a factor, then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$.

Corollary

If either \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{B} is a factor, then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$.

Corollary

If either \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{B} is a factor, then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$.

Some examples of extreme tracial quantum symmetric states

- free product traces $\psi = *_{\mathbb{1}}^{\infty} \tau$ for $\tau \in TS(A)$; these correspond to $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}$.
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = M_2(\mathbf{C})$,

Corollary

If either \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{B} is a factor, then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$.

Some examples of extreme tracial quantum symmetric states

- free product traces $\psi = *_{\mathbb{1}}^{\infty} \tau$ for $\tau \in TS(A)$; these correspond to $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}$.
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = M_2(\mathbf{C})$, so tail algebras can be noncommutative also when $A = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$.

Corollary

If either \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{B} is a factor, then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$.

Some examples of extreme tracial quantum symmetric states

- free product traces $\psi = *_{1}^{\infty} \tau$ for $\tau \in TS(A)$; these correspond to $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}$.
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = M_2(\mathbf{C})$, **so tail algebras can be noncommutative also when $A = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$.**
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{B} = M_2(\mathbf{C}) \oplus M_2(\mathbf{C})$,

Corollary

If either \mathcal{D} or \mathcal{B} is a factor, then $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(A))$.

Some examples of extreme tracial quantum symmetric states

- free product traces $\psi = *_{1}^{\infty} \tau$ for $\tau \in TS(A)$; these correspond to $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C}$.
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = M_2(\mathbf{C})$, so tail algebras can be noncommutative also when $A = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$.
- we construct an example $\psi \in \partial_e(\text{TQSS}(\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}))$ with $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{B} = M_2(\mathbf{C}) \oplus M_2(\mathbf{C})$, so extreme tracial quantum symmetric states can occur when neither \mathcal{B} nor \mathcal{D} is a factor.

Central quantum symmetric states

$ZQSS(A)$ = the set of all $\psi \in QSS(A)$ whose tail algebra \mathcal{T}_ψ lies in the center of \mathcal{M}_ψ .

$ZTQSS(A) = ZQSS(A) \cap TQSS(A)$, the tracial central quantum symmetric states.

Central quantum symmetric states

$ZQSS(A)$ = the set of all $\psi \in QSS(A)$ whose tail algebra \mathcal{T}_ψ lies in the center of \mathcal{M}_ψ .

$ZTQSS(A) = ZQSS(A) \cap TQSS(A)$, the tracial central quantum symmetric states.

Theorem

Both $ZQSS(A)$ and $ZTQSS(A)$ are compact, convex subsets of $QSS(A)$ and both are Choquet simplices. Their extreme points are, respectively, the free product states and the free product traces:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_e(ZQSS(A)) &= \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}, \\ \partial_e(ZTQSS(A)) &= \{*_1^\infty \tau \mid \tau \in TS(A)\}.\end{aligned}$$

The previous result is in the spirit of Størmer's result; it says that each central quantum symmetric state ψ can be written as an integral

$$\psi = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi) d\mu(\phi)$$

of free product states for a *unique* Borel probability measure μ on $S(A)$, and in the case that ψ is a trace, $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq TS(A)$.

The previous result is in the spirit of Størmer's result; it says that each central quantum symmetric state ψ can be written as an integral

$$\psi = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi) d\mu(\phi)$$

of free product states for a *unique* Borel probability measure μ on $S(A)$, and in the case that ψ is a trace, $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq TS(A)$.

Open problem

Is $\text{TQSS}(A)$ a Choquet simplex?

Proof that $ZQSS(A)$ is closed and that $\partial_e(ZQSS(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

Step 1

Note that $\phi \mapsto *_1^\infty \phi$ is a homeomorphism from $S(A)$ into $\partial_e(QSS(A))$.

Step 2

Show $ZQSS(A) \subseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

If $\psi \in ZQSS(A)$ comes from $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D}, E, \sigma, \rho) \in \mathcal{V}(A)$, then \mathcal{D} lies in the center of \mathcal{B} , so ρ is a state on $\mathcal{D} \cong C(X)$ and is approximately a convex combination of point masses. Using a result from [D., Köstler], each (point mass) $\circ E \circ *_1^\infty \sigma : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a free product state of the form $*_1^\infty \phi$.

Step 3

Show $ZQSS(A) \supseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

It is easy to see $ZQSS(A) \supseteq \text{conv}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$. But even if $\psi_i \in QSS(A)$ and $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$ and we understand the tail algebras of each ψ_i , how do we understand the tail algebra of ψ ?

Step 3

Show $ZQSS(A) \supseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

It is easy to see $ZQSS(A) \supseteq \text{conv}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$. But even if $\psi_i \in QSS(A)$ and $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$ and we understand the tail algebras of each ψ_i , how do we understand the tail algebra of ψ ?

We don't answer this general question. Instead, since $\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ there is a *Borel* probability measure μ on $S(A)$ such that
$$\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi).$$

Step 3

Show $ZQSS(A) \supseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

It is easy to see $ZQSS(A) \supseteq \text{conv}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$. But even if $\psi_i \in QSS(A)$ and $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$ and we understand the tail algebras of each ψ_i , how do we understand the tail algebra of ψ ?

We don't answer this general question. Instead, since $\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ there is a *Borel* probability measure μ on $S(A)$ such that
$$\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi).$$
 Now we perform an amalgamated free product over $C(S(A))$ and use a technical convergence result from [Abadie, D. '09] to realize ψ in a way that makes clear that the tail algebra is in the center.

Step 3

Show $\text{ZQSS}(A) \supseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

It is easy to see $\text{ZQSS}(A) \supseteq \text{conv}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$. But even if $\psi_i \in \text{QSS}(A)$ and $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$ and we understand the tail algebras of each ψ_i , how do we understand the tail algebra of ψ ?

We don't answer this general question. Instead, since $\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ there is a *Borel* probability measure μ on $S(A)$ such that $\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi)$. Now we perform an amalgamated free product over $C(S(A))$ and use a technical convergence result from [Abadie, D. '09] to realize ψ in a way that makes clear that the tail algebra is in the center.

These three steps show $\text{ZQSS}(A)$ is compact, convex and $\partial_e(\text{ZQSS}(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

Step 3

Show $\text{ZQSS}(A) \supseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$.

It is easy to see $\text{ZQSS}(A) \supseteq \text{conv}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$. But even if $\psi_i \in \text{QSS}(A)$ and $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi$ and we understand the tail algebras of each ψ_i , how do we understand the tail algebra of ψ ?

We don't answer this general question. Instead, since $\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in \overline{\text{conv}}\{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ there is a *Borel* probability measure μ on $S(A)$ such that $\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi)$. Now we perform an amalgamated free product over $C(S(A))$ and use a technical convergence result from [Abadie, D. '09] to realize ψ in a way that makes clear that the tail algebra is in the center.

These three steps show $\text{ZQSS}(A)$ is compact, convex and $\partial_e(\text{ZQSS}(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$. QED

Proof that $ZQSS(A)$ is a Choquet simplex.

As remarked earlier, since $\partial_e(ZQSS(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in ZQSS(A)$ there is a Borel probability measure μ on $S(A)$ so that

$$\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi)$$

for every $x \in \mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$. Suppose ν is another such measure. We must show $\mu = \nu$.

Proof that $ZQSS(A)$ is a Choquet simplex.

As remarked earlier, since $\partial_e(ZQSS(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in ZQSS(A)$ there is a Borel probability measure μ on $S(A)$ so that

$$\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi)$$

for every $x \in \mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$. Suppose ν is another such measure. We must show $\mu = \nu$.

If $\lambda_i : A \rightarrow *_1^\infty A$ is the embedding to the i -th copy, then $(*_1^\infty \phi)(\lambda_1(a_1) \cdots \lambda_k(a_k)) = \prod_1^k \phi(a_j)$, so

$$\int_{S(A)} \prod_1^k \phi(a_j) d\mu(\phi) = \psi(\lambda_1(a_1) \cdots \lambda_k(a_k)) = \int_{S(A)} \prod_1^k \phi(a_j) d\nu(\phi).$$

Proof that $ZQSS(A)$ is a Choquet simplex.

As remarked earlier, since $\partial_e(ZQSS(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \phi \mid \phi \in S(A)\}$ is compact, for every $\psi \in ZQSS(A)$ there is a Borel probability measure μ on $S(A)$ so that

$$\psi(x) = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x) d\mu(\phi)$$

for every $x \in \mathfrak{A} = *_1^\infty A$. Suppose ν is another such measure. We must show $\mu = \nu$.

If $\lambda_i : A \rightarrow *_1^\infty A$ is the embedding to the i -th copy, then $(*_1^\infty \phi)(\lambda_1(a_1) \cdots \lambda_k(a_k)) = \prod_1^k \phi(a_j)$, so

$$\int_{S(A)} \prod_1^k \phi(a_j) d\mu(\phi) = \psi(\lambda_1(a_1) \cdots \lambda_k(a_k)) = \int_{S(A)} \prod_1^k \phi(a_j) d\nu(\phi).$$

Thus, the linear functionals $\int \cdot d\mu$ and $\int \cdot d\nu$ agree on the closed subalgebra of $C(S(A))$ generated by the evaluations $\phi \mapsto \phi(a)$, ($a \in A$). By Stone–Weierstrass $\mu = \nu$. QED

Proof that $ZTQSS(A)$ is a Choquet simplex and $\partial_e(ZTQSS(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \tau \mid \tau \in TS(A)\}$.

Recall $ZTQSS(A) = ZQSS(A) \cap TS(\mathfrak{A})$. Suppose $\psi \in ZTQSS(A)$ and μ is the (unique) Borel measure on $S(A)$ such that $\psi = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi) d\mu(\phi)$. It will suffice to show $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq TS(A)$.

Proof that $ZTQSS(A)$ is a Choquet simplex and $\partial_e(ZTQSS(A)) = \{*_1^\infty \tau \mid \tau \in TS(A)\}$.

Recall $ZTQSS(A) = ZQSS(A) \cap TS(\mathfrak{A})$. Suppose $\psi \in ZTQSS(A)$ and μ is the (unique) Borel measure on $S(A)$ such that $\psi = \int_{S(A)} (*_1^\infty \phi) d\mu(\phi)$. It will suffice to show $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq TS(A)$.

Let $a \in A$ $\|a\| \leq 1$ and let ω denote the push-forward measure of μ under the map $S(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$ given by $\phi \mapsto (\phi(a^*a), \phi(aa^*))$. It will suffice to show that the support of ω lies in the diagonal.

Proof (continued).

Recall $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ and $|a^*| = (aa^*)^{1/2}$. Let $x = \lambda_1(|a|)\lambda_2(a)$ and $y = \lambda_1(|a^*|)\lambda_2(a^*)$. Then for all $\phi \in S(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x^*x) &= \phi(a^*a)^2, & (*_1^\infty \phi)(xx^*) &= \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*), \\ (*_1^\infty \phi)(y^*y) &= \phi(aa^*)^2, & (*_1^\infty \phi)(yy^*) &= \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*). \end{aligned}$$

Proof (continued).

Recall $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ and $|a^*| = (aa^*)^{1/2}$. Let $x = \lambda_1(|a|)\lambda_2(a)$ and $y = \lambda_1(|a^*|)\lambda_2(a^*)$. Then for all $\phi \in S(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x^*x) &= \phi(a^*a)^2, & (*_1^\infty \phi)(xx^*) &= \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*), \\ (*_1^\infty \phi)(y^*y) &= \phi(aa^*)^2, & (*_1^\infty \phi)(yy^*) &= \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^2} s^2 d\omega(s, t) &= \psi(x^*x) = \psi(xx^*) = \int_{[0,1]^2} st d\omega(s, t), \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} t^2 d\omega(s, t) &= \psi(y^*y) = \psi(yy^*) = \int_{[0,1]^2} st d\omega(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

From these identities, we get $\int (s - t)^2 d\omega(s, t) = 0$ and we conclude that the support of ω lies in the diagonal of $[0, 1]^2$.

Proof (continued).

Recall $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$ and $|a^*| = (aa^*)^{1/2}$. Let $x = \lambda_1(|a|)\lambda_2(a)$ and $y = \lambda_1(|a^*|)\lambda_2(a^*)$. Then for all $\phi \in S(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (*_1^\infty \phi)(x^*x) &= \phi(a^*a)^2, & (*_1^\infty \phi)(xx^*) &= \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*), \\ (*_1^\infty \phi)(y^*y) &= \phi(aa^*)^2, & (*_1^\infty \phi)(yy^*) &= \phi(a^*a)\phi(aa^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^2} s^2 d\omega(s, t) &= \psi(x^*x) = \psi(xx^*) = \int_{[0,1]^2} st d\omega(s, t), \\ \int_{[0,1]^2} t^2 d\omega(s, t) &= \psi(y^*y) = \psi(yy^*) = \int_{[0,1]^2} st d\omega(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

From these identities, we get $\int (s - t)^2 d\omega(s, t) = 0$ and we conclude that the support of ω lies in the diagonal of $[0, 1]^2$. QED

References (chronological order)

[dF37] B. de Finetti, “La prevision: ses lois logiques, ses sources subjectives,” *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* (1937).

[St69] E. Størmer, “Symmetric states of infinite tensor products of C^* -algebras,” *J. Funct. Anal.* (1969).

[W98] S. Wang, “Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* (1998).

[KSp09] C. Köstler, R. Speicher, “A noncommutative de Finetti theorem: invariance under quantum permutations is equivalent to freeness with amalgamation,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* (2009).

[C09] S. Curran, “Quantum exchangeable sequences of algebras,” *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* (2009).

More References (chronological order)

[AD09] B. Abadie, K. Dykema, “Unique ergodicity of free shifts and some other automorphisms of C^* -algebras,” *J. Operator Theory* 61 (2009).

[DK] K. Dykema, C. Köstler, “Tail algebras of quantum exchangeable random variables,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear), arXiv 1202.4749.

[DKW] K. Dykema, C. Köstler, J. Williams, “Quantum symmetric states on universal free product C^* -algebras,” arXiv:1305.7293.

More References (chronological order)

[AD09] B. Abadie, K. Dykema, “Unique ergodicity of free shifts and some other automorphisms of C^* -algebras,” *J. Operator Theory* 61 (2009).

[DK] K. Dykema, C. Köstler, “Tail algebras of quantum exchangeable random variables,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear), arXiv 1202.4749.

[DKW] K. Dykema, C. Köstler, J. Williams, “Quantum symmetric states on universal free product C^* -algebras,” arXiv:1305.7293.

*** Thanks for listening! ***