

Orbital Free Entropy and its dimension counterpart

Yoshimichi UEDA

Kyushu University

Jul. 2013

Voiculescu proposed it at the end of the part 6 of his series of papers on free entropy. Then Hiai and I studied its details.

- Voiculescu, The analogue of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, VI: Liberation and mutual free information, *Adv. Math.*, 149 (1999), 101–166.
- Hiai and Petz, Large deviation for functions of two random projection matrices, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 72(2006), 581–609.
- Hiai and U., Notes on microstate free entropy of projections, *Publ. RIMS*, 44 (2008), 49–89.
- Hiai and U., A log-Sobolev type inequality for free entropy of two projections, *AIHP*, 45 (2009), 239–249.

2nd Origin – Change of variable formula on $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa}$

2nd Origin – Change of variable formula on $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa}$

- $\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^+ = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \mid \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}\}$, $\mathbb{R}_{>}^+ = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \mid \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}\}$
- $\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N$ with the diagonals \mathbb{T}^N in $\mathbf{U}(N)$.

The surjection (the (inverse) diagonalization map)

$$\Phi_N : ([U], \lambda) \in (\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^N \mapsto U \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} U^* \in M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa}$$

becomes a measure space isomorphism with respect to

$$C_N \text{ Haar} \otimes \left(\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 d\lambda \right), \quad \text{Leb.}$$

The surjection Φ_N is injective on $(\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N) \times \mathbb{R}_{>}^N$.

Matricial microstates (Voiculescu)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-commutative self-adjoint random variables in a tracial W^* -prob. space (\mathcal{M}, τ) . The space of matricial microstates

$$\Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon) \quad \text{possibly } R = \infty$$

is the subset of $(M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^n$ consisting of all (A_i) such that

- the operator norm $\|A_i\|_\infty \leq R$ (nothing when $R = \infty$),
- for every $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}) - \tau(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Write

$$\chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon) := \log \left(\text{Leb}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)) \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \searrow 0}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2} \log N \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a constant function in $R \in [\max \|X_i\|_\infty, +\infty]$ and called the free entropy $\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of X_1, \dots, X_n .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \searrow 0}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2} \log N \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a constant function in $R \in [\max \|X_i\|_\infty, +\infty]$ and called the free entropy $\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of X_1, \dots, X_n .

The free entropy is a right quantity playing a role of “entropy” in free probability; for example,

- X_1, \dots, X_n freely independent \implies
 $\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \chi(X_1) + \dots + \chi(X_n)$;
- (\Leftarrow) also holds under $\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n) > -\infty$.

Orbital microstates – 1st approach (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

The diagonalization map Φ_N induces a continuous surjection:

$$\Phi_{n,N} : (\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N)^n \times (\mathbb{R}_{\geq}^N)^n \rightarrow (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^n.$$

Then the set of “orbital microstates”

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)$$

is defined to be the projection of

$$\Phi_{n,N}^{-1}(\Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon))$$

onto $(\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N)^n$.

The volume

$$(\mathbf{Haar}_{\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N})^{\otimes n}(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon))$$

is nothing but the usual Haar probability measure of the space of all the n -tuples $(U_i) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n$ such that $\exists D_i, N \times N$ diagonal real matrices whose entries are not increasing and

$$(U_i D_i U_i^*) \in \Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon).$$

The volume

$$\left(\text{Haar}_{\mathbf{U}(N)/\mathbb{T}^N}\right)^{\otimes n}\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)\right)$$

is nothing but the usual Haar probability measure of the space of all the n -tuples $(U_i) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n$ such that $\exists D_i, N \times N$ diagonal real matrices whose entries are not increasing and

$$(U_i D_i U_i^*) \in \Gamma_R(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon).$$

We call this latter subset of $\mathbf{U}(N)^n$ **the space of orbital microstates** and denote it by $\Gamma_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon) \\ := \log \left(\left(\text{Haar}_{\mathbf{U}(N)}\right)^{\otimes n} \left(\Gamma_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Orbital free entropy – 1st approach (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

Then

$$\chi_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon > 0}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)$$

is independent of the choice of $R \in [\max \|X_i\|_\infty, +\infty]$, and we call this **the orbital free entropy** $\chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Orbital free entropy – 1st approach (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

Then

$$\chi_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon, \gamma > 0}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_{\text{orb},R}(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)$$

is independent of the choice of $R \in [\max \|X_i\|_\infty, +\infty]$, and we call this **the orbital free entropy** $\chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

Proposition ([Hiai, Miyamoto and U., IJM, 2009])

$$\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(X_i)$$

Remember: $H(X, Y) = -I(X, Y) + H(X) + H(Y)$.

Orbital free entropy – 2nd approach (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

Free entropy adapted to projections uses:

- deterministic $P_i(N) = P_i(N)^* = P_i(N)^2$ with $\text{rk}(P_i(N))/N \rightarrow \tau(P_i)$;
- $\Gamma_{\text{proj}}(P_1, \dots, P_n; N, m, \delta)$
 $= \{(U_i) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid (U_i P_i(N) U_i^*) \in \Gamma_1(P_1, \dots, P_n; N, m, \varepsilon)\}$.

Orbital free entropy – 2nd approach (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

Free entropy adapted to projections uses:

- deterministic $P_i(N) = P_i(N)^* = P_i(N)^2$ with $\text{rk}(P_i(N))/N \rightarrow \tau(P_i)$;
- $\Gamma_{\text{proj}}(P_1, \dots, P_n; N, m, \delta)$
 $= \{(U_i) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid (U_i P_i(N) U_i^*) \in \Gamma_1(P_1, \dots, P_n; N, m, \varepsilon)\}$.

Another formulation: the space of orbital microstates

$$\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n : (\xi_i(N)); N, m, \varepsilon)$$

are defined to be all the n -tuples of unitaries $(U_i) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n$ such that $(U_i \xi_i(N) U_i^*) \in \Gamma_\infty(X_1, \dots, X_n; N, m, \varepsilon)$, where the $\xi_i(N)$ are the deterministic self-adjoint matrices such that each $\xi_i(N)$ converges to X_i in moments.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n : (\xi_i(N)) ; N, m, \varepsilon) \\ := \log \left((\text{Haar}_{U(N)})^{\otimes n} \left(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n : (\xi_i(N)) ; N, m, \varepsilon) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n : (\xi_i(N))) \\ := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \nearrow 0}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n : (\xi_i(N)) ; N, m, \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

coincides with the previous orbital free entropy $\chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, or other words, it does never depend on the choice of approximation $\xi_i(N)$.

Orbital free entropy for HF multivariables (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

Replace:

$$X_i \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{X}_i = \{X_{i1}, \dots, X_{il_i}\} \text{ with HF (=hyperfinite) } W^*(\mathbb{X}_i), \\ \xi_i(N) \rightsquigarrow \Xi_i(N) = \{\xi_{i1}(N), \dots, \xi_{il_i}(N)\}.$$

We define

$$\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N)); N, m, \varepsilon) \\ = \{(U_i) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid (U_i \Xi_i(N) U_i^*) \in \Gamma_{\infty}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n; N, m, \varepsilon)\}, \\ \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N)); N, m, \varepsilon) \\ := \log \left((\text{Haar}_{\mathbf{U}(N)})^{\otimes n} \left(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N)); N, m, \varepsilon) \right) \right).$$

We can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \\ & := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \varepsilon \searrow 0}} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N)); N, m, \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

does never depend on the choice of approximation $\Xi_i(N)$. We call this **the orbital free entropy of HF random multivariables $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$** .

The key: Jung's characterization of hyperfiniteness in terms of matricial microstates.

Properties of Orbital Free Entropy

Let X_i be (non-commutative) random variables, and $\mathbb{X}_i, \mathbb{Y}_i$ be HF random multivariables. Let U_i be unitary random variables.

Proposition ([Hiai, Miyamoto and U., IJM, 2009])

- $\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(X_i)$;
- $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = 0 \iff \mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$ freely independent;
- $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) = W^*(\mathbb{Y}_i) \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n)$;
- $\mathbb{X}_i \subseteq W^*(\mathbb{Y}_i) \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n)$;
- all U_i are freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i and $\chi_u(U_i) > -\infty \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1 \mathbb{X}_1 U_1^*, \dots, U_n \mathbb{X}_n U_n^*)$.

Properties of Orbital Free Entropy

Let X_i be (non-commutative) random variables, and $\mathbb{X}_i, \mathbb{Y}_i$ be HF random multivariables. Let U_i be unitary random variables.

Proposition ([Hiai, Miyamoto and U., IJM, 2009])

- $\chi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(X_i)$;
- $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = 0 \iff \mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$ freely independent;
- $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) = W^*(\mathbb{Y}_i) \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n)$;
- $\mathbb{X}_i \subseteq W^*(\mathbb{Y}_i) \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n)$;
- all U_i are freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i and $\chi_u(U_i) > -\infty \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1 \mathbb{X}_1 U_1^*, \dots, U_n \mathbb{X}_n U_n^*)$.

The proof of the 5th property uses the conditional variant of χ_{orb} ;

$$\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : \mathbb{Z}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_n)$$

defined as same as the conditional variant of free entropy.

Orbital free entropy – explicit computation

(Hiai–Petz’s large deviation for two random projection matrices)

Let P, Q be two projections in a W^* -prob. space (\mathcal{M}, τ) . Then PQP or equivalently QPQ gives a prob. meas. ν on $[0, 1]$ via spectral decomp. with τ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q) &= \chi_{\text{proj}}(P, Q) \\ &= \int \int_{(0,1)^2} \log |x - y| \nu \otimes \nu(dx, dy) \\ &\quad + |\tau(P) - \tau(Q)| \int_{(0,1)} \log x \nu(dx) \\ &\quad + |\tau(P) + \tau(Q) - 1| \int_{(0,1)} \log(1 - x) \nu(dx) + C\end{aligned}$$

when P, Q are in generic position; otherwise $= -\infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} I(X, Y) &= \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_{(X,Y)}}{d(\mu_X \otimes \mu_Y)} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \quad \text{for r.v.'s } X, Y \\ &= \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_{(X,Y)}}{dx dy} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \\ &\quad - \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_X}{dx} d\mu_{(X,Y)} - \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_Y}{dy} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \\ &= -H(X, Y) + H(X) + H(Y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(X, Y) &= \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_{(X,Y)}}{d(\mu_X \otimes \mu_Y)} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \quad \text{for r.v.'s } X, Y \\
 &= \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_{(X,Y)}}{dx dy} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \\
 &\quad - \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_X}{dx} d\mu_{(X,Y)} - \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_Y}{dy} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \\
 &= -H(X, Y) + H(X) + H(Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

- $I(X, Y) = 0 \iff X, Y$ independent;
- $I(X, Y)$ depends only on $\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)$ inside $\sigma(X, Y)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(X, Y) &= \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_{(X,Y)}}{d(\mu_X \otimes \mu_Y)} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \quad \text{for r.v.'s } X, Y \\
 &= \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_{(X,Y)}}{dx dy} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \\
 &\quad - \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_X}{dx} d\mu_{(X,Y)} - \int \int \log \frac{d\mu_Y}{dy} d\mu_{(X,Y)} \\
 &= -H(X, Y) + H(X) + H(Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

- $I(X, Y) = 0 \iff X, Y$ independent;
- $I(X, Y)$ depends only on $\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)$ inside $\sigma(X, Y)$.

Voiculescu defined the free mutual Fisher information

$$\varphi^*(A_1; \dots; A_n)$$

for (unital) *-subalgebras A_1, \dots, A_n of \mathcal{M} by developing appropriate derivation theory like the approach χ^* of free entropy, and then the free mutual information

$$\begin{aligned} i^*(A_1; \dots; A_n) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \varphi^*(U_1(t)A_1U_1(t)^*; \dots; U_n(t)A_nU_n(t)^*) dt \end{aligned}$$

with freely independent family $U_i(t)$ of unitary BMs.

Voiculescu defined the free mutual Fisher information

$$\varphi^*(A_1; \dots; A_n)$$

for (unital) *-subalgebras A_1, \dots, A_n of \mathcal{M} by developing appropriate derivation theory like the approach χ^* of free entropy, and then the free mutual information

$$\begin{aligned} i^*(A_1; \dots; A_n) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \varphi^*(U_1(t)A_1U_1(t)^*; \dots; U_n(t)A_nU_n(t)^*) dt \end{aligned}$$

with freely independent family $U_i(t)$ of unitary BMs. This enjoys almost all the properties that $-\chi_{\text{orb}}$ has except the exact relation to χ itself.

Conjecture (or hope ?)

$$i^*(W^*(\mathbb{X}_1); \dots; W^*(\mathbb{X}_n)) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n).$$

Conjecture (or hope ?)

$$i^*(W^*(\mathbb{X}_1); \dots; W^*(\mathbb{X}_n)) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n).$$

Theorem ([Izumi and U., arXiv:1306.5372])

If P, Q are projection in (\mathcal{M}, τ) with $\tau(P) = \tau(Q) = 1/2$, then $i^*(W^*(P); W^*(Q)) = -\chi_{\text{orb}}(P, Q)$ holds possibly with $+\infty = +\infty$.

Comments:

- Collins and Kemp [arXiv:1211.6037] gave the same result under a restricted assumption.
- The result should be regarded as an orbital-counterpart of $\chi^*(X) = \chi(X)$ for single X due to Voiculescu.
- We have a partial result without assuming $\tau(P) = \tau(Q) = 1/2$. The 'perfect' subordination result was obtained based on Loewner (–Kufarev) equations. The remaining issue is some analysis of Loewner equations.

Beyond HF – 3rd approach ([Biane and Dabrowski, Adv. Math., 2013])

Replacing

- matricial microstates \rightsquigarrow “random microstates”;
- Leb. meas. \rightsquigarrow classical entropy H ,

Biane and Dabrowski introduced a concavification $\tilde{\chi}$ of free entropy χ . In the same spirit, they introduced new approaches to the orbital free entropy χ_{orb} , whose definitions involve directly classical mutual information.

Beyond HF – 3rd approach ([Biane and Dabrowski, Adv. Math., 2013])

Replacing

- matricial microstates \rightsquigarrow “random microstates”;
- Leb. meas. \rightsquigarrow classical entropy H ,

Biane and Dabrowski introduced a concavification $\tilde{\chi}$ of free entropy χ . In the same spirit, they introduced new approaches to the orbital free entropy χ_{orb} , whose definitions involve directly classical mutual information. **Their variants of χ_{orb} don't require the assumption that $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ are HF (positive side)**, but can be proved to coincide with the original χ_{orb} only when $W^*(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n)$ is a factor (negative side for me).

$$\Psi_N : (U_i, (\mathbb{A}_i)) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^{l_i} \right)$$
$$\longrightarrow (U_i \mathbb{A}_i U_i^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^n (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^{l_i}.$$

$$\Psi_N : (U_i, (\mathbb{A}_i)) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^{l_i} \right)$$

$$\longrightarrow (U_i \mathbb{A}_i U_i^*) \in \prod_{i=1}^n (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^{l_i}.$$

Key lemma

For any prob. meas. μ on $\prod_{i=1}^n (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{sa})^{l_i}$

$$(\mathbf{Haar} \otimes \mu)(\Psi_N^{-1}(\Gamma_R(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n; N, m, \varepsilon)))$$

$$= \int_{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma_R(\mathbb{X}_i; N, m, \varepsilon)} \mathbf{Haar}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\mathbb{A}_i); N, m, \varepsilon)) \mu(d(\mathbb{A}_i)).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \chi_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : \mu ; N, m, \varepsilon) \\
 & := \log \left((\text{Haar} \otimes \mu) (\Psi_N^{-1}(\Gamma_R(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n ; N, m, \varepsilon))) \right), \\
 & \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\mathbb{A}_i) ; N, m, \varepsilon) \\
 & = \log \left(\text{Haar}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\mathbb{A}_i) ; N, m, \varepsilon)) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{m,\varepsilon} \limsup_N \frac{1}{N^2} \sup_{\mu} \chi_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : \mu ; N, m, \varepsilon) \\
 & = \lim_{m,\varepsilon} \limsup_N \frac{1}{N^2} \sup_{(\mathbb{A}_i)} \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : (\mathbb{A}_i) ; N, m, \varepsilon)
 \end{aligned}$$

is independent of the choice of $R \in [\max \|X_{ij}\|_{\infty}, +\infty]$ and agrees with the previous χ_{orb} .

Beyond HF – 4th approach: observation

Assume: all \mathbb{X}_i have f.d.a., or other words, $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) \subset R^\omega$.

Beyond HF – 4th approach: observation

Assume: all \mathbb{X}_i have f.d.a., or other words, $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) \subset R^\omega$.

Let $\nu(N, m, \varepsilon)$ be the normalized Leb. meas. on $\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma_R(\mathbb{X}_i; N, m, \varepsilon)$ with $R \geq \max \|X_{ij}\|_\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mu} \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : \mu ; N, m, \varepsilon) \\ & \geq \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n : \nu(N, m, \varepsilon) ; N, m, \varepsilon) \\ & = \chi_R(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n ; N, m, \varepsilon) - \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_R(\mathbb{X}_i ; N, m, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Beyond HF – 4th approach: observation

Assume: all \mathbb{X}_i have f.d.a., or other words, $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) \subset R^\omega$.

Let $\nu(N, m, \varepsilon)$ be the normalized Leb. meas. on $\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma_R(\mathbb{X}_i; N, m, \varepsilon)$ with $R \geq \max \|X_{ij}\|_\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mu} \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n; \mu; N, m, \varepsilon) \\ & \geq \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n; \nu(N, m, \varepsilon); N, m, \varepsilon) \\ & = \chi_R(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n; N, m, \varepsilon) - \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_R(\mathbb{X}_i; N, m, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition ([U., IUMJ, to appear])

$$\chi(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(\mathbb{X}_i).$$

Proposition ([U., IUMJ, to appear])

- $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = 0 \iff \mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$ freely independent.
- $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) \subseteq W^*(\mathbb{Y}_i) \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n)$.
- $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i) = W^*(\mathbb{Y}_i) \implies \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n)$.
- If all U_i are freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i and $\chi_u(U_i) > -\infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) &\leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1 \mathbb{X}_1 U_1^*, \dots, U_n \mathbb{X}_n U_n^* : U_1, \dots, U_n) \\ &\leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1 \mathbb{X}_1 U_1^*, \dots, U_n \mathbb{X}_n U_n^*). \end{aligned}$$

Comment: A big issue is to prove the opposite inequality:

$$\chi(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n) \geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(\mathbb{X}_i).$$

Orbital Free Entropy Dimension (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

The **orbital free entropy dimension** $\delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n)$ is defined to be

$$\limsup_{t \searrow 0} \frac{\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_nU_n(t)^* : U_1(t), \dots, U_n(t))}{|\log \sqrt{t}|},$$

where the $U_i(t)$'s are freely independent, free unitary BMs, freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i 's.

Orbital Free Entropy Dimension (Hiai, Miyamoto and U.)

The **orbital free entropy dimension** $\delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n)$ is defined to be

$$\limsup_{t \searrow 0} \frac{\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_nU_n(t)^* : U_1(t), \dots, U_n(t))}{|\log \sqrt{t}|},$$

where the $U_i(t)$'s are freely independent, free unitary BMs, freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i 's.

Idea: Replace

$$\chi \text{ and } X + \sqrt{t}S \rightsquigarrow \chi_{\text{orb}} \text{ and } X \mapsto U(t)XU(t)^*$$

in the definition of the original δ_0 .

Proposition ([HMU, IJM, 2009],[U, IUMJ, to appear])

- $\delta_{0,\text{orb}} \leq 0$.
- $\delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}) = 0$ if \mathbb{X} has f.d.a.
- Monotonicity, i.e., $\mathbb{Y}_i \subset W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ for all i implies

$$\delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) \leq \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_n).$$

Hence $\delta_{0,\text{orb}}$ does depend only on the $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$.

- $\chi_{\text{orb}} > -\infty$ implies $\delta_{0,\text{orb}} = 0$.
- If \mathbb{X}_1 and $\mathbb{X}_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ are freely independent and if \mathbb{X}_1 has f.d.a., then

$$\delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) = \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_2, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n).$$

Proposition ([HMU, IJM 2009],[U, IUMJ to appear])

Jung's packing formulation still works for $\delta_{0,\text{orb}}$.

Theorem ([HMU, IJM '09])

We have

$$\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n) = \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

under the assumption that all $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ are HF.

Theorem ([HMU, IJM '09])

We have

$$\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n) = \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

under the assumption that all $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ are HF.

Comments:

- We used Jung's precise result on δ_0 in the HF case.
- A big issue is again whether or not the formula still holds true without assuming that all $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ are HF.

Theorem ([HMU, IJM '09])

We have

$$\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n) = \delta_{0,\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

under the assumption that all $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ are HF.

Comments:

- We used Jung's precise result on δ_0 in the HF case.
- A big issue is again whether or not the formula still holds true without assuming that all $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ are HF. **I don't know even whether or not (\leq) holds.**

Theorem ([HMU, IJM, 2009])

Let $\mathbb{X}_i = \{X_{i1}, \dots, X_{il_i}\}$ and $\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)} = \{X_{i1}^{(k)}, \dots, X_{il_i}^{(k)}\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, k = 1, 2, \dots$) be tuples of self-adjoint elements in a W^* -probability space such that

- every $W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$ is HF ($1 \leq i \leq n$),
- $\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)} \subseteq W^*(\mathbb{X}_i)$
- $X_{ij}^{(k)} \rightarrow X_{ij}$ strongly.

Then:

$$\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_m) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1^{(k)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_m^{(k)}).$$

Proof

Firstly prove: $\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)})$ if $\mathbb{X}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ strongly.
(Jung's result was used at this point !)

Proof

Firstly prove: $\delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)})$ if $\mathbb{X}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ strongly.
(Jung's result was used at this point !) Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1^{(k)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_m^{(k)}) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1^{(k)}, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m^{(k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)}) \right\} \\ &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)}) \right\} \\ &\geq \delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i^{(k)}) \\ &\geq \delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_m) + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i) \\ &= \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Another application of the formula

Let \mathbb{X}_i be HF and $U_i(t)$ be freely independent, free unitary BMs, freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i 's. If $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ has f.d.a., then

$$\delta_0(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_nU_n(t)^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

as long as $t \neq 0$.

Another application of the formula

Let \mathbb{X}_i be HF and $U_i(t)$ be freely independent, free unitary BMs, freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i 's. If $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ has f.d.a., then

$$\delta_0(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_nU_n(t)^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

as long as $t \not\geq 0$. Therefore, δ_0 is discontinuous at $t = 0$ in the liberation process. Note also that the right-hand side exactly coincides with the δ_0 of freely independent copies of the \mathbb{X}_i 's.

Another application of the formula

Let \mathbb{X}_i be HF and $U_i(t)$ be freely independent, free unitary BMs, freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i 's. If $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ has f.d.a., then

$$\delta_0(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_nU_n(t)^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

as long as $t \not\geq 0$. Therefore, δ_0 is discontinuous at $t = 0$ in the liberation process. Note also that the right-hand side exactly coincides with the δ_0 of freely independent copies of the \mathbb{X}_i 's.

Question:

What properties do $W^*(U_1(t)M_1U_1(t)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_n(t)M_nU_n(t)^*)$ and $M_1 \star \cdots \star M_n$ have in common ?

Another application of the formula

Let \mathbb{X}_i be HF and $U_i(t)$ be freely independent, free unitary BMs, freely independent of the \mathbb{X}_i 's. If $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ has f.d.a., then

$$\delta_0(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_nU_n(t)^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbb{X}_i)$$

as long as $t \not\geq 0$. Therefore, δ_0 is discontinuous at $t = 0$ in the liberation process. Note also that the right-hand side exactly coincides with the δ_0 of freely independent copies of the \mathbb{X}_i 's.

Question:

What properties do $W^*(U_1(t)M_1U_1(t)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup U_n(t)M_nU_n(t)^*)$ and $M_1 \star \cdots \star M_n$ have in common ?

Remark: Dabrowski and Ioana [arXiv:1212.6425] and also Dabrowski (in preparation) recently proved some results in the direction (as we saw in Dabrowski's talk).

TFAE for HF $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$:

(a) $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ f.d.a.

(b) $\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1 U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_1 U_n(t)^*) > -\infty$ ($\forall t > 0$).

TFAE for HF $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$:

(a) $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ f.d.a.

(b) $\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1 U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_1 U_n(t)^*) > -\infty$ ($\forall t > 0$).

Let

- P, Q be two projections,
- U be a unitary, freely independent of the P, Q such that it has finite Fisher information $F(U)$.

TFAE for HF $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$:

(a) $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ f.d.a.

(b) $\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_n(t)^*) > -\infty$ ($\forall t > 0$).

Let

- P, Q be two projections,
 - U be a unitary, freely independent of the P, Q such that it has finite Fisher information $F(U)$.
- $\Rightarrow \exists j(UW^*(P)U^* : W^*(Q)) \in L^2$ – liberation gradient

TFAE for HF $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$:

(a) $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ f.d.a.

(b) $\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_1U_n(t)^*) > -\infty$ ($\forall t > 0$).

Let

- P, Q be two projections,
- U be a unitary, freely independent of the P, Q such that it has finite Fisher information $F(U)$.
 $\Rightarrow \exists j(UW^*(P)U^* : W^*(Q)) \in L^2$ – liberation gradient
 $\Rightarrow UPU^*, Q$ are in generic position.
 (all these are due Voiculescu, §8, §12 of Part 6 of free entropy !)

TFAE for HF $\mathbb{X}_1, \dots, \mathbb{X}_n$:

(a) $\mathbb{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbb{X}_n$ f.d.a.

(b) $\chi_{\text{orb}}(U_1(t)\mathbb{X}_1 U_1(t)^*, \dots, U_n(t)\mathbb{X}_1 U_n(t)^*) > -\infty$ ($\forall t > 0$).

Let

- P, Q be two projections,
- U be a unitary, freely independent of the P, Q such that it has finite Fisher information $F(U)$.
 $\Rightarrow \exists j(UW^*(P)U^* : W^*(Q)) \in L^2$ – liberation gradient
 $\Rightarrow UPU^*, Q$ are in generic position.
 (all these are due Voiculescu, §8, §12 of Part 6 of free entropy !)

Moreover, all $W^*(U(t)PU(t)^*, Q)$, $t > 0$, are isomorphic.

- Orbital approach to free entropic pressure has been developed (in preparation); it nicely fits random matrix models due to Collins, Guionnet and Segala. In fact, their models provide explicit examples whose χ_{orb} and also liberation gradients are computable.

- Orbital approach to free entropic pressure has been developed (in preparation); it nicely fits random matrix models due to Collins, Guionnet and Segala. In fact, their models provide explicit examples whose χ_{orb} and also liberation gradients are computable. It is natural to ask whether or not $i^* = -\chi_{\text{orb}}$ holds for those examples.

- Orbital approach to free entropic pressure has been developed (in preparation); it nicely fits random matrix models due to Collins, Guionnet and Segala. In fact, their models provide explicit examples whose χ_{orb} and also liberation gradients are computable. It is natural to ask whether or not $i^* = -\chi_{\text{orb}}$ holds for those examples.
- A possible counterpart ($i^* \leq -\chi_{\text{orb}}$) of Biane, Capitaine and Guionnet's result showing $\chi \leq \chi^*$ seems a big next question; probably hard.

Remark: Dabrowski has proposed a different path to the inequality.

- Biane and Dabrowski, Concavification of free entropy, *Adv. Math.*, 234 (2013), 667–696.
- Hiai, Miyamoto and U., Orbital approach to microstate free entropy, *IJM*, 20 (2009), 227–273.
- Izumi and U., Remarks on free mutual information and orbital free entropy, preprint, arXiv:1306.5372.
- U., Orbital free entropy, revisited, *IUMJ*, to appear, arXiv:1210.6421.