

Variational methods for effective dynamics

Robert L. Jerrard

Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto

Minischool on *Variational Problems in Physics*
October 2-3, 2014
Fields Institute

effective dynamics means:

- **Given** a nonlinear evolution equation with small or large parameter
- **one seeks** a simple description of (at least some) solutions, where
- **simple** may mean: in terms of lower-dimensional objects

relevance of the calculus of variations

- Γ -convergence is *very often* a source of inspiration
- Γ -convergence (with related estimates) is *often* an ingredient in proofs including for example for wave and Schrödinger equations
- Γ -convergence (with upgrades) can *sometimes* be the basis for proofs especially for gradient flows, cf lectures of Ambrosio
- In general: effective dynamics is largely a question of stability
- and calculus of variations is very relevant to stability.

effective dynamics means:

- **Given** a nonlinear evolution equation with small or large parameter
- **one seeks** a simple description of (at least some) solutions, where
- **simple** may mean: in terms of lower-dimensional objects

relevance of the calculus of variations

- Γ -convergence is *very often* a source of inspiration
- Γ -convergence (with related estimates) is *often* an ingredient in proofs including for example for wave and Schrödinger equations
- Γ -convergence (with upgrades) can *sometimes* be the basis for proofs especially for gradient flows, cf lectures of Ambrosio
- In general: effective dynamics is largely a question of stability
- and calculus of variations is very relevant to stability.

general open problems

1. Abstract framework for Γ -convergence and Hamiltonian systems.

For gradient flows, Sandier-Serfaty '04, Serfaty '11

2. For Hamiltonian systems (especially),

- Can one ever establish global-in-time results ?
- In particular, given a periodic solution of a limiting Hamiltonian system, can one find “nearby” periodic solutions for the approximating functional?

First example

Exercise

Assume that $F_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and that $F_\varepsilon \rightarrow F$ in some topology. For which topologies is it true that solutions of the ODEs

$$\dot{x}_\varepsilon = -\nabla F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon), \quad x_\varepsilon(0) = x_0 \quad (1)$$

$$\ddot{x}_\varepsilon = -\nabla F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon), \quad x_\varepsilon(0) = x_0, \dot{x}_\varepsilon(0) = v_0 \quad (2)$$

$$i\dot{x}_\varepsilon = -\nabla F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon), \quad x_\varepsilon(0) = x_0 \quad (3)$$

converge, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to solutions of the $\varepsilon = 0$ systems?

Note:

- for (1), “energy” decreases along trajectories: $\frac{d}{dt} F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = -|\dot{x}_\varepsilon|^2$
- for (2), “energy” is conserved: $\frac{d}{dt} [\frac{1}{2} |\dot{x}_\varepsilon|^2 + F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)] = 0$.
- for (3), (a different) “energy” is conserved: $\frac{d}{dt} F_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = 0$.

Another example

The above exercise is misleading in that it (probably)

- doesn't use gradient structure
- doesn't distinguish between different dynamics

more illustrative: Let $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed smooth function, and define

$$F_\varepsilon(x, y) := g(x, y) + \varepsilon^{-p} (y - \varepsilon^q \sin(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}))^2$$

for certain $p, q > 0$.

Exercise

Show that

$$F_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_0(x, y) := \begin{cases} g(x, 0) & \text{if } y = 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

Exercise

For which values of p, q do solutions of various ODEs for F_ε converge to solutions for F_0 ?

Note: in general Γ -convergence is far too weak to allow any conclusions about dynamics.

Exercise

Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic, with $\inf \phi = 0$. Then for any $p > 0$,

$$F_\varepsilon(x) := f(x) + \varepsilon^{-p} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} f.$$

Exercise

Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a countable dense subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and define

$$F_\varepsilon(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, 2^{-i}\varepsilon) \\ f(x) & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$F_\varepsilon \rightarrow F > 0 \text{ a.e. and in } L^1_{loc}, \quad \text{but } F_\varepsilon(x) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} 0.$$

For the duration of this lecture, we consider the Allen-Cahn energy

$$F_\varepsilon(u) := \int_\Omega \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (u^2 - 1)^2 dx \quad u \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$$

and associated evolution problems (where typically $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$.)

Plan

- recall Γ -convergence (for inspiration) and state corresponding wave equation result
- discuss proof
 - transform via change of variables into a stability question
 - address this using variational arguments

Theorem (Modica-Mortola '77, Modica '87, Sternberg '88)

1. (compactness) If $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]}$ is a sequence in $H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C$$

then there is a subsequence that converges in L^1 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to a limit $u \in BV(\Omega; \{\pm 1\})$.

2. (lower bound) If $(u_\varepsilon) \subset H^1(\Omega)$ and $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{L^1} u$, then

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq F_0(u) := \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}|Du|(\Omega) & \text{if } u \in BV(\Omega; \{\pm 1\}) \\ +\infty & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

3. (upper bound) For any $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $(u_\varepsilon) \subset H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{L^1} u \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq F_0(u).$$

- Informally,

$$F_\varepsilon(\cdot) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \text{“ interfacial area functional ”}$$

- As a **corollary**: if (u_ε) is a sequence of minimizers of F_ε (for suitable boundary data....) then

$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \in BV(\Omega; \{\pm 1\})$ after passing to a subsequence if necessary, and the set $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) = 1\}$ has minimal perimeter in Ω .

further (PDE) results: (many references omitted here.....)

- similar for nonminimizing solutions of

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon^2 - 1) u_\varepsilon = 0$$

(assuming natural energy bounds.)

- solutions of

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_\varepsilon^2 - 1) u_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \kappa$$

are related in a similar way to surfaces of Constant Mean Curvature.

- in addition,

$u_\varepsilon(x) \approx q\left(\frac{d(x)}{\varepsilon}\right)$, where $d(\cdot)$ is signed distance from interface,
so that $d(\cdot)$ satisfies $|\nabla d|^2 = 1$, $d = 0$ on Γ .

Theorem (J '11, Galvão-Sousa and J., '13)

Assume that Γ is a smooth, compact, embedded, timelike hypersurface in $(T_*, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, bounding a set \mathcal{O} , and such that $H_{mink}(\Gamma) = \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then there exists a sequence of solutions (u_ε) of the wave equation

$$\varepsilon(\partial_{tt}u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(u^2 - 1)(2u - \varepsilon\kappa) = 0$$

such that

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } \mathcal{O} \\ -1 & \text{in } \mathcal{O}^c \end{cases} \quad \text{in } L^2_{loc}((T_*, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

- In fact we prove more, including energy concentration around Γ , estimates of rate of convergence *etc.*
- $H_{mink} = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2}(H_{euc} - (1 - v^2)^{-1}a)$, where $v = \text{velocity}$, $a = \text{acceleration}$.
- $H_{mink} = 0 \iff$ critical point of *Minkowskian* area functional

Formal arguments and elliptic results suggest that $u \approx q\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon}\right)$, where

- q is the optimal 1-d profile:

$$-q'' + (q^2 - 1)q = 0, \quad q(0) = 0, \quad q \rightarrow \pm 1 \text{ at } \pm \infty$$

- d is the signed *Minkowski* distance function to Γ , i.e.

$$-(\partial_t d)^2 + |\nabla d|^2 = 1, \quad d = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

Note that q minimizes

$$v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2}(v')^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v^2)^2 dr$$

among functions such that $v(r) \rightarrow \pm 1$ as $r \rightarrow \pm \infty$.

Plan:

- consider 1d case (with $\kappa \neq 0$) for simplicity
- Let $r_0 = \kappa^{-1}$. Then

$$\Gamma = \{r_0(\sinh \theta, \cosh \theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\} := \{(t, x) : x^2 - t^2 = r_0^2\}$$

- change to Minkowskian polar coordinates

$$(r \cosh \theta, r \sinh \theta) = (x, t), \quad r > 0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then $\theta \approx$ "time" and $r - r_0 = d =$ Minkowski distance to Γ

- then hope to show that

$$u(x, t) = v(r, \theta) \approx q\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon}\right) = q\left(\frac{r - r_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- in fact we will concoct a functional ζ such that

$$\eta(v(\cdot, \theta)) \text{ small} \Rightarrow v \approx q\left(\frac{r - r_0}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \eta(v(\cdot, \theta)) \approx 0 \text{ if } v \approx q\left(\frac{r - r_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Conclusions:

- 1 In particular

$$\int_0^\Theta \int_0^\infty \frac{v_\theta^2}{r^2} dr d\theta \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Thus Poincare's inequality implies that

$$\|v - v_0\|_{L^2([0,\Theta] \times (0,\infty))} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

- 2 This translates into estimates

$$\|u - U\|_{\{x>0, |t/x| \leq \tanh(\Theta)\}} \leq C\varepsilon$$

for explicit U with interface following curve of curvature κ . In fact,

$$U(t, x) = q\left(\frac{(x^2 - t^2)^{1/2} - \kappa^{-1}}{\varepsilon}\right) = q\left(\frac{\text{dist}(t, x), \Gamma}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- 3 given any T , we can use this to control u in $\{|t| < T\}$.
- 4 can also extract estimates e.g. of energy-momentum tensor.

Conclusions:

- 1 In particular

$$\int_0^\Theta \int_0^\infty \frac{v_\theta^2}{r^2} dr d\theta \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Thus Poincaré's inequality implies that

$$\|v - v_0\|_{L^2([0, \Theta] \times (0, \infty))} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

- 2 This translates into estimates

$$\|u - U\|_{\{x > 0, |t/x| \leq \tanh(\Theta)\}} \leq C\varepsilon$$

for explicit U with interface following curve of curvature κ . In fact,

$$U(t, x) = q\left(\frac{(x^2 - t^2)^{1/2} - \kappa^{-1}}{\varepsilon}\right) = q\left(\frac{\text{dist}(t, x), \Gamma}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- 3 given any T , we can use this to control u in $\{|t| < T\}$.
- 4 can also extract estimates e.g. of energy-momentum tensor.

Conclusions:

- 1 In particular

$$\int_0^\Theta \int_0^\infty \frac{v_\theta^2}{r^2} dr d\theta \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Thus Poincaré's inequality implies that

$$\|v - v_0\|_{L^2([0,\Theta] \times (0,\infty))} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

- 2 This translates into estimates

$$\|u - U\|_{\{x>0, |t/x| \leq \tanh(\Theta)\}} \leq C\varepsilon$$

for explicit U with interface following curve of curvature κ . In fact,

$$U(t, x) = q\left(\frac{(x^2 - t^2)^{1/2} - \kappa^{-1}}{\varepsilon}\right) = q\left(\frac{\text{dist}(t, x), \Gamma}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- 3 given any T , we can use this to control u in $\{|t| < T\}$.

- 4 can also extract estimates e.g. of energy-momentum tensor.

Conclusions:

- 1 In particular

$$\int_0^\Theta \int_0^\infty \frac{v_\theta^2}{r^2} dr d\theta \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Thus Poincaré's inequality implies that

$$\|v - v_0\|_{L^2([0, \Theta] \times (0, \infty))} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

- 2 This translates into estimates

$$\|u - U\|_{\{x > 0, |t/x| \leq \tanh(\Theta)\}} \leq C\varepsilon$$

for explicit U with interface following curve of curvature κ . In fact,

$$U(t, x) = q\left(\frac{(x^2 - t^2)^{1/2} - \kappa^{-1}}{\varepsilon}\right) = q\left(\frac{\text{dist}(t, x), \Gamma}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- 3 given any T , we can use this to control u in $\{|t| < T\}$.
- 4 can also extract estimates e.g. of energy-momentum tensor.